

na

DECLASSIFIED AND APPROVED FOR
RELEASE
BY THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
DATE: 2001

26 September 1946

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF STATE
SECRETARY OF WAR
SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PRESIDENT
ON NIA

Subject: Forthcoming Article in Life Magazine

In connection with the statement during the NIA meeting on 25 September 1946 about the forthcoming article in Life Magazine, the attached excerpts from this article, which will come out Friday, may be of interest.

a/HSD

MOY S. VANDENBERG
Lieutenant General, USA
Director of Central Intelligence

Incl.


Distribution:

- 1 cc - NIA Secretariat
- 2 cc - Central Records
- 1 cc - Executive Registry

Note for records: Prepared by General Vandenberg.

EO 12958 3.4(b)(1)-25Yrs

*Inspected
by R. J. Chalmers
26 Sept. 46*

DOCUMENT NO. 37
 NO CHANGE IN CLASS
 DECLASSIFIED
 CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S G
 NEXT REVIEW DATE: _____
 AUTH: HQ TSC
 DATE 9/19/81 REVIEWER: 

*Gen. Van's copy
Pres + Publ*

EXCERPTS FROM ARTICLE FOR LIFE MAGAZINE, 20 SEPTEMBER 1946

Title: Intelligence - Key to Success

Caption under heading: In a confused world our diplomats need all the facts that they can get, but our present intelligence system is dangerously weak.

By: William J. Bennett

We need an intelligence service with a skilled trained group of scholars and experts having the regional knowledge and the linguistic competence of the countries involved which should be the greatest aid in reaching the right solution at the right time. But have we got such an intelligence service now? No, we have not.

(Skip to another page.)

A year ago we broke up OSS. For some time it was urged that OSS be replaced by another central intelligence service appropriate to our position as the world's greatest power and having at its organized fingertips all the information affecting the American position everywhere and anywhere. Instead there has emerged a makeshift intelligence service honoring in the breach every principle learned so painfully under fire. The new National Intelligence Authority is made up of the Secretaries of State, War and Navy and of the Chief of Staff to the President. Thus we have a committee the first duty of whose members is to their respective departments. Intelligence is a full time job. How can we expect to get an efficient service based upon such an administrative fallacy?

Under this authority is the director who has the right to participate in the meetings of the committee, but not to vote. Although appointed by the President, he reports, not to him, but to the various heads of the departments to whom he supplies the intelligence and upon whom he is dependent for facilities, funds and personnel. The such a director to master in his own mind? Success will only be a mere initiative and imagination without which it will sink in mediocrity. The whole affair has been further weakened by placing the interpretive and evaluation unit in the State Department. Such a military cannot possibly work. It cannot work because it violates all the precepts of intelligence which common sense and experience have handed down to us. What are these precepts?

1. *****
2. *****
3. *****
4. *****
5. *****

6. Administration. The director should be a civilian appointed by the President. This agency should have its own budget granted by Congress.

(Last paragraph)

The consequences of Yalta will remain with us. To avoid such blunders in the future, our only hope is the kind of intelligence service we built at so heavy a cost--and junked.