(b)(3)

Executive Summary

Report of the OIG Preliminary Inquiry into the CIA-NYPD Relationship

I. (U) Scope and Background

1. (U//F**OU**O) Scope of the Inquiry. On 29 August 2011, the Inspector General (IG) directed that the Investigations Staff (INV) of the Office of Inspector General (OIG) conduct a preliminary inquiry into allegations of inappropriate CIA involvement in the activities of the New York City Police Department (NYPD), as reported in an Associated Press story. On 31 August 2011, the Acting Director CIA requested OIG expeditiously review CIA support to, and involvement with, NYPD. Based upon this request, OIG assembled a team of seven investigators, an Attorney, and a Research Assistant to conduct a preliminary inquiry of the CIA-NYPD relationship, including the NYPD Intelligence Division (NYPD-ID), to determine whether CIA actions violated Executive Order (EO) 12333, the National Security Act of 1947, and/or

Law and Policy Governing the Conduct of Intelligence Activities. OIG reviewed many thousands of records from its own holdings or provided to OIG by Agency components, consisting of cables, e-mails, official correspondence, Congressional briefing notes, personnel and security files, and Agency biographies. the course of its inquiry, OIG interviewed 33 individuals, some of them more than once, at various locations within the United States and overseas. (Exhibit)

(U//F**OU**O) Background. According to the witnesses we interviewed, before the 11 September 2001 (9/11) terrorist attack on the US, specifically the City of New York, the NYPD-ID was focused on VIP personal protection and criminal intelligence collection related principally to gang, narcotics activities, and organized crime. After 9/11, the ID dramatically expanded its focus on counterterrorism. In January 2002, NYPD Commissioner Raymond Kelly appointed David Cohen, a retired CIA Senior Intelligence Service (SIS) officer who had been working in the private sector in New York City, to be the Deputy Commissioner of Intelligence. Over several years, Cohen expanded the size of NYPD-ID and established several division initiatives directed at . thwarting terrorist activities. As of the date of this Report, Cohen continues to serve as the Deputy Commissioner of Intelligence.

> (b)(3)(b)(3)

Approved for Release: 2016/03/16 C06354905

Approved for Release: 2016/03/16 C06354905

Approved for Release: 2016/03/16 C06354905

(b)(3)

Approved for Release: 2016/03/16 C06354905

(b)(3)

(U) Report of the OIG Preliminary Inquiry into the CIA-NYPD Relationship

, ,	in November 2009. The proposal to train the detective received extensive review from senior Agency management, to include the Associate Deputy Director, Director of the National Clandestine
	Service (NCS), and the Senior Deputy General Counsel, before being approved in accordance with $(b)(3)$ requirements. (b)(3) was the only NYPD officer afforded such training.
•	(b)(1)
	IV. (b)(3)
	7. (b)(3) On 9 August 2007, request(b)(1)
	a full-time permanent analyst to support NYPD-ID A
ſ	ricinotatidam of rigidometro (riori) was arawii ap
ı,	(b)(1) to provide direct support to NYPD.
(b)(3)	(b)(3) to provide direct support to NYPD. In this newly created
(b)(3)	position, provided direct analytic support to NYPD-ID.
. , ,	The MOA documented that $(b)(3)$ would identify potential foreign
	leads of interest to CIA; (b)(1)
	he would remain under CIA authorities
(b)(3)	and bound by Agency restrictions throughout his assignment; and
	Although the MOA was not
(b)(3)	signed until informed OIG that (b)(3)
	management advised him to ignore any information that was unrelated to foreign intelligence (FI). $(b)(3)$ an experienced
•	analyst, told OIG he was knowledgeable about the prohibitions as
	an Agency officer working alongside domestic law enforcement.
	He stated he did not engage in any law enforcement or otherwise
	prohibited activities, to include improper collection regarding
	US persons, while he served in this position. $(b)(3)$
	8. — □ told OIG that during the first two
,	months of his assignment, he received daily PDF files containing
	NYPD-ID investigative reports, known as DD-5s, that he believed
	were unfiltered (e.g., the reports had not been pre-screened to
	remove potential non-FI related information). However, most of
	these reports dealt with criminal activity and were not of
	potential FI valueclaimed that after two months his (b)(3)
	presumed unfiltered access was removed and he was dependent upon
	NYPD analysts to provide him with filtered, hard copy DD-5 reports
	of FI valueestimated he received somewhere between 0 to (b)(3)
	12 reports each day, and that approximately once every two months, (b)(1)
	However, others interviewed by OIG, including a former NYPD-(b)(3)
	analyst and now staff officer with NCS, maintained that no one,

(U) Report of the OIG Preliminary Inquiry into the CIA-NYPD Relationship

	(b)(3)
	(b)(3)
j	ncluding had unrestricted or unfiltered access to DD-5
1	reports, except NYPD-ID analysts, and Cohen.
	$\Box \qquad \qquad (b)(1) \qquad \text{an experienced} \qquad (b)(3)$
	(\overline{\cutecheta}\tau)
	analyst (b)(3) was chosen to replace
	and she began her assignment (b)(1)
	(b)(3)
	However, unlike $(b)(3)$ she engages
	exclusively in training NYPD analysts in analytic tradecraft.
	although an experienced officer who claimed she was aware of the
	imitations when working with local law enforcement had (b)(3)
_	ot signed an MOA at the time she was interviewed by OIG During the course of the OIG inquiry, DDCIA
7	Morell was briefed by OIG about the findings thus far, and
T,	subsequently he directed that $(b)(3)$ management ensure the MOA for
_	was signed. As of the date of this report, OIG has no
	Information this has been finalized.
7	(b)(1) (b)(3)
	(3) 10. According to accounts of senior $Agen_{(b)(1)}$
C	officers, Cohen contacted Deputy Director Morell for a /b//3/
١	replacement for " Di(b)(3) or NCS John D. Bennett (D)(3)
	subsequently selected senior \(\frac{\cappa_{\cappa_{\cappa}}}{\cappa_{\cappa_{\cappa}}} \) manager \(\cappa_{\cappa_{\cappa}} \)
1	for assignment to NYPD, but not as a replacement or as(b)(3)
ć	ecently served as Chief
	(b)(1) and was looking for a
	new assignment. (b)(3) NYPD position was defined by Cohen as
	executive development for and not to fill (b)(3) role
	says he initially was not
	Intere (b)(1) in the NYPD position but decided to meet with Kelly and
(Cohen (b)(3) was interested in
١	what he learned from kerry and cohen, and he eventually decided $(b)(3)$
	- <u>- U)(I) </u>
7	
1	New York on as a Special Representative to NYPD. The MOA defined (b)(1) role: he would be co-located with NYPD-ID $(b)(3)$
1	out remain all Agency officer, operating under CIA authorities, and
	would be limited by restrictions applicable to Agency activities.
ſ	would not have any law enforcement authorities, and he
_	vould not exercise 1(b)(1) forcement, police, or internal security
	powers OCC briefed(b)(3) on the law enforcement related
_	(b)(1)
Γ	timitations of his assignment. (b)(3)
L	

(U) Report of the OIG Preliminary Inquiry into the CIA-NYPD Relationship

(b)(1) (b)(3)

(b)(1) (b)(3)

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

3
$(b)(1) \qquad (b)(1) \qquad (b)(1) \qquad (b)(2) \qquad (b)(3) \qquad (b)(3) \qquad (b)(3) \qquad (c)(3)$
(b)(3) 11. The MOA enumerated the justification for assignment as mutually beneficial to the Agency and NYPD. told OIG he understood the legal limitations regarding his authorities and said he was careful not to overstep his bounds. He said he spent considerable time and effort trying to help NYPD improve its volatile relationship with the local FBI and specifically the FBI-led Joint Terrorism Task Force.
12. (U//FONO) During the course of its inquiry, OIG received information from current and former senior Agency officials who expressed concern that his position with NYPD had placed the Agency in the middle of a contentious relationship between the FBI and NYPD.
VI. (U) Conclusions ,
13. (U//FOUO) OIG's preliminary inquiry found no information or evidence that Agency officers engaged or participated in any activities that violated EO 12333 or the National Security Act of 1947. Specifically, OIG found no evidence indicating that Agency officers conducted intelligence activities directed at the purely domestic activities of US persons in violation of EO 12333 or that Agency officers, while engaged in the performance of CIA duties, exercised any law enforcement, police, or internal security powers in violation of the National Security Act of 1947. EO 12333 and Agency regulations authorize the Agency to provide assistance to local law enforcement entities in certain circumstances provided that necessary approvals have been obtained.
(b)(3) (b)(3) OIG's inquiry identified a potential issue that may have occurred from about February to April 2008, with regard to certain collection activities within the US. A previous officer assigned to NYPD-ID believed he temporarily received/had access to particular "unfiltered" NYPD-ID reports. OIG, on 8 November 2011, reported this potential violation to the Intelligence Oversight Board, as required by EO 12333.

SECRET (b)(3)
Approved for Release: 2016/03/16 C06354905

- (U) Report of the OIG Preliminary Inquiry into the CIA-NYPD Relationship
- 15. (U//FOUO) Agency staff officers provided direct assistance to NYPD, initially to identify ways to improve IC support to, and information sharing with, law enforcement, followed by efforts to develop the analytical expertise of NYPD-ID in dealing with counterterrorism issues of local concern. Since 2002, CIA has assigned a total of four officers to provide direct assistance to NYPD.
- 16. (U//FQUO) The Agency provided these officers with varying degrees of management and legal oversight and guidance during their respective assignments. The personnel assigned to assist NYPD had different functions and different levels of understanding of their respective role as an Agency staff employee assigned to work with NYPD. OIG's inquiry found inconsistent administrative documentation and levels of review regarding LWOP approvals, MOAs, information-sharing arrangements, and Outside Activity Requests. With respect to each Agency officer assigned to NYPD, the inquiry identified, albeit at various intervals and degrees, consultation with OGC, as required by ______ when the Agency provides generalized training to state or local law enforcement.
- 17. (U//FOVO) OIG's preliminary inquiry found that issues raised in 2008 with respect to the appropriateness of providing specialized operational training to an NYPD detective received extensive review and assessment by Agency personnel, including OGC attorneys. Agency senior management, including the Associate Deputy Director, Director of the NCS, and the Senior Deputy General Counsel subsequently approved this training, and the NYPD detective was temporarily detailed to the Agency and attended a portion of the Agency's before returning to NYPD.
- (b)(3)

 18. (C) OIG determined that the assignment of to

 NYPD placed the Agency more prominently in the middle of a contentious relationship between the FBI and the NYPD regarding NYPD's efforts to combat terrorism. In OIG interviews, several current anc(b)(1):mer senior-level officers expressed concerns with (b)(3) ssignment and role as a senior Agency

 (b)(3)

 manager working directly with the NYPD.

SECRET//

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

Report of the OIG Preliminary Inquiry into the CIA-NYPD (U) Relationship

Exhibit *

Individuals Interviewed for OIG Preliminary Inquiry on the CIA-NYPD Relationship

(b)(1)(b)(3)(b)(7)(c)

(b)(7)(d)

Exhibit is classified SECRET/ Executive Summary

if separated from

(b)(3)

Approved for Release: 2016/03/16 C06354905