

BT/3-098/WASH, 009/Fran RYBAT DISPATCH FOLLOWS

VIA TELETYPE

DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION RYBAT/ S E C R E T	PROCESSING		
		PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
TO CHIEF, FE		XX	INDEXED FOR INDEXING NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
INFO CHIEF OF STATION, GERMANY			ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
FROM CHIEF, MUNICH LIAISON BASE			ABSTRACT	
SUBJECT RYBAT UPHILL UJRANDOM CONTACT BETWEEN HANS RECHENBERG AND HANS ULRICH BUDEL			ENCIPHER	
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES				
<p>REF A MUNI 4576, 27 FEBRUARY 1961 S. EGMW 10476, 5 APRIL 1961</p> <p>1. THE FOLLOWING IS A TRANSLATION OF A LETTER DATED 19 FEBRUARY 1962 FROM SKORZENY TO RECHENBERG --</p> <p>"DEAR HERR RECHENBERG,</p> <p>MANY THANKS FOR YOUR LETTER OF 12 FEBRUARY AND FOR THE EXTREMELY INTERESTING ENCLOSURES. THIS IS REALLY A POSITIVE PROOF OF THE GAME THE GOOD FELLOW IS PLAYING.</p> <p>IT IS A PITY THAT YOUR VISIT TO KUFSTEIN WAS FOR NOTHING. IN CONSIDERATION OF THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE MATTER I WILL ASK YOU TO REPEAT THIS VISIT WHEN YOU HAVE TIME - THAT IS TO TRY TO CALL AHEAD OF TIME IN ORDER TO FIND OUT IF OUR FRIEND IS HOME. TEL. KUFSTEIN 2833.</p> <p>I AM VERY PLEASED TO HAVE MADE YOUR ACQUAINTANCE AND WILL BE VERY PLEASED IF WE REMAIN IN CONTACT.</p> <p>GREETINGS, YOUR /S/ OTTO SKORZENY</p> <p>P.S. YESTERDAY I RECEIVED YOUR VERY INSTRUCTIVE REPORT ON FRANCE. I READ IT IMMEDIATELY AND ON SEVERAL POINTS DISAGREE. IT IS CERTAIN, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT CIRCA 80 PER CENT OF THE OFFICER CORPS IS FOR SALAN OF HIS SUCCESSOR."</p>				
<p>DISTRIBUTION- BY TAPE -C/EE 2-COS/G</p> <p>BY FOUCH 1-WASH</p>		<p>DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007</p>		
		<p>28 FEB 62</p>		
		<p>DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER EGMA-57891</p>		
/CONTINUED/		<p>CLASSIFICATION RYBAT/ S E C R E T</p>		

PAGE TWO

2. REGARDING THE INDIVIDUAL IN KUFSTEIN, HE IS PROBABLY ONE "RUDEL/RODEL" WHO CALLS RECHENBERG CIRCA 13 FEBRUARY AND MENTIONS THAT HE IS CALLING FROM KIEFERSFELDEN BECAUSE ITS EASIER FROM THAT SIDE OF THE BORDER. /KIEFERSFELDEN IS JUST ACROSS THE BORDER FROM KUFSTEIN/. IN VIEW OF THE STATEMENT IN REFERENCE B THAT HANS ULRICH RUDEL HAS RELATIVES IN KUFSTEIN, HE IS UNDOUBTEDLY IDENTICAL WITH THE CALLER WHOM CALLIKAK IDENTIFIED PHONETICALLY AS "RUDEL/RODEL".

3. FROM OTHER RECHENBERG INTERCEPTS WE KNOW THAT RECHENBERG WAS IN MADRID BETWEEN 12 AND 19 JANUARY 1962 /SEE EGMA-57841, 19 FEBRUARY 1962, ATTACHMENT B./. WE ASSUME THAT IT WAS ON THAT OCCASION THAT HE MET SKORZENY.

4. IN VIEW OF [ ] STATEMENTS CONCERNING THE ROLE OF SKORZENY AND RUDEL IN HACKE, UPHILL'S CONTACT WITH SKORZENY AND PENDING CONTACT WITH RUDEL WOULD APPEAR TO BE OF MORE THAN PASSING SIGNIFICANCE. PERHAPS THIS IS AN UPHILL ATTACK ON THE HACKE TARGET HOWEVER THERE ARE QUITE POSSIBLY UJRANDOM OVERTONES. WE WILL FOLLOW FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS AS CLOSELY AS POSSIBLE AND, ALTHOUGH IT MIGHT SEEM DESIRABLE TO SURFACE THE MATTER TO UPHILL, WE ARE AT A LOSS HOW TO DO THIS WITHOUT BLOWING OUR UNILATERAL INTERCEPT COVERAGE ON RECHENBERG. WE FEEL THAT THE MATERIAL FROM THIS COVERAGE IS OF SUCH INTEREST THAT WE WOULD PREFER NOT TO SURFACE OUR KNOWLEDGE WITHOUT A WATER-TIGHT COVER STORY OR WITH THE FIRM EXPECTATION THAT UPHILL WOULD AGREE CONTINUED COVERAGE IS JUSTIFIED.

APPROVED BY -