

SECRET

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Chief, CI/OA

OCT 3 3 54 PM '57 DATE: 8 OCT 1957

FROM : Deputy Director of Security (Investigations and Support)

SUBJECT: C-15651  
#79367

Attached herewith is a copy of a report of an  
LEFLUTIER, which was afforded the Subject at a  
covert site in Washington, D. C., on 21-24 August  
1957.

FOR THE DIRECTOR OF SECURITY: 1551071

Attachment:  
Interview Report dated  
27 August 1957

INVESTIGATION  
*Return to CI/OA*

This document is the property of the Security Office and should be handled with  
the same care as the original. It is to be used only for the purpose for which  
it was prepared. It is not to be reproduced or its contents disclosed except  
by the Security Office. This material shall not be reproduced  
without the prior approval of the Security Office.

MICROFILMED

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHOD 9D EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 10-13-83

SECRET

SECRET

DATE: 18 October 1957

TO : Chief, Security Support Division

ATTN : [ ]

FROM : Interrogation Research Division

SUBJECT: B.F. 79367

DD4 25817

REPORT OF INTERVIEW

I. The Subject was interrogated and examined polygraphically at a covert site in Washington, D. C. between 16 - 18 October 1957. The examination was requested by Mr. [ ] and Mr. [ ] of the CI Staff and its purpose was to resolve many discrepancies in the Subject's files. The case was discussed with Messrs. [ ] and [ ] on 14 and 15 October and with Messrs. [ ] and [ ] on 15 October 1957.

II. Interrogation and polygraph test results indicate that Subject is not attempting to conceal anything regarding any past or present connection with any non-American intelligence service. He is not fabricating any important part of his personal history. Specifically, he answered in the negative to questions of whether or not he had ever willingly performed any services for any of the named intelligence services and further answered in the negative to a question regarding whether he had ever received any money from the British for services performed. There was no indication of any deception to these questions. Subject explained the extent of his dealings with a possible British Intelligence Agent (see paragraph V below). Most of the other points raised during the conferences which preceded this interrogation were resolved by interrogation and Subject's explanations are set forth below.

III. There are two points worthy of note in connection with the interrogation and testing of this Subject. First, the problem as seen by the examiner was to determine whether the Subject was essentially a fabricator (as evidenced by his previous lies in connection with his biography) or whether he is now or ever had been in the employ of another intelligence service. In order to determine this, it was considered necessary to keep the report existing between the examiner and the Subject and hence, to focus the issue only on points considered relevant to the major issues involved. A hostile interrogation on what were considered irrelevant issues (to the central issue) may have destroyed the potential usefulness of the agent and at the same time may have made the determination of the central issue more difficult. Further, it was necessary to determine whether such fabrication as had

SECRET