ORIGINAL

NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION -Unnuthorized Disclosure Cub.

· Vol. 1 OF 21 Lo Criminal Summinus

R1607

The United States Senate

Report of Proceedings

Hearing held before

Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations With Respect to Intelligence Activities

Wednesday, October 15, 1975

Washington, D. C.

Tape and Waste term of their ontre for design

WARD & PAUL THE FIRST STREET, S. E. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20003

 $\pm 202 \pm 54450000$

			Approved for Release: 2022/02/01 C00095085	
Tostimony of: Sidney Gottlich, accompanied by Terry F. Lenzner, Cownsel 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 19 20 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	CHETRUDAE	·lyndh	TOPSECRET	
Testimony of: Continue Testimony of:		. 1 7 11 011		and the first section
accompanied by Terry F. Lenzner, Counsel 5 6 7 8 LO 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		1		
accompanied by Terry F. Lenzner, Counsel 5 6 7 8 LO 9 N 10 CO 11 CO 15 LO	3021			,,
accompanied by Terry F. Lenzner, Counsel 5 6 7 8 10 9 N. 16 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	, c [A : e	ij	Testimony of:	Page
Ferry F. Lenzner, Counsel 5 6 7 8 LO 9 N 10 C 11 18 18 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	É	1	Sidney Gottlich,accompanied by	2
6 7 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		1		
9		5		
5		6		
10		7		
10 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16		8		w
10	LN	9		
10	•	10		
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		11		
16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	,	12		
C 16 16 15 16 17 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	Ę į	1 %		
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	<i>₹</i> ************************************	14 14		
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		15	• •	
310 Last direct of Lynamic manner, Bot. 2000 1 3	•	į.		
and Law Sprent, of E. Watersprent, D.C. Sold of the Street, D.C.	(%).	H		
A101 and Annual Section 1 Section 2				
and Law Sprent, of E. Watersprent, D.C. Sold of the Street, D.C.	. 60	3.4	<u>.</u>	
alo Law Agreed, A Construenting, and a sound of the sound	ن	j.		
The state of the s	www.			
		27		
		24		
TOPECRET		23.		
			TOP ECRET	

Approved for Release: 2022/02/01 C00095085

				Approved for Release: 2022/02/01 C00095085
70.50	SHELL	BURNE	:lynch	TOPSECRET
		000		5
	_) 544-6(1	STAFF INTERVIEW
		.63 202	2.	
		Phone (Ares 202	ا خ	Wednesday, October 15, 1975
		£	: :	
			5	United States Senate,
			6 ¦	Select Committee to Study Governmental
			7	Operations with Respect to
			S :	Intelligence Activities,
	~		9	Washington, D. C.
	~] ()	The Staff met, pursuant to notice, at 2:25 o'clock p.m.,
	c:		1:	
		2	3 C	must paleton and Pillion Margella
		, C	13	Professional Staff Members.
	<	3	14	
	·		15	
	t;,		16	
	1~		17	
			3.8	
		, 000.	3	
		56.30	3. · ·	
		The second	2	
		Water		
		1601.58	2)	
			<u>.</u>	
		914	2.	
			<u>:</u> :	
				TOPECRET

_

2

;

5

6

G

Ģ

j . :

1.

1:

•

c.ɔ

€.

<u>.</u>

; · .

i - .

19

. Washington, O t.

. 2:

17 -

3 ::

1.7

2 > 3

24

::

TESTIMONY OF SIDNEY GOTTLIEB, RESUMED,

ACCOMAPNIED BY TERRY F. LENZNER, COUNSEL

Mr. Wides. Mr. Gottlieb, would you state your full name and the address at which you can be reached by the Committee?

Dr. Gottlieb. My name is Sidney Gottlieb -- I can be reached through my counsel, Mr. Lenzner.

Mr. Wides. Is it correct that you are continuing to testify order the oath previously administered to you on behalf of the Committee?

Dr. Gottlieb. Yes, I am.

Mr. Wides. Mr. Gottlieb, let me first ask you about several matters relating to the various activities undertaken by the Technical Services Division, or kinds of activities if of wordthey were involved during the period when you were the Director. Could you give us again for the record the period when you were the Head of the Technical Services Division approximate by:

Dr. Gottlieb. I was Chief of the Technical Services Division between 1966 and 1973.

Mr. Wides. The Director of CIA, Director Colly, following the disclosure of a variety of questionable activities, is not a series of directives to each of the components of CIA approving quidelines to be applied at least in the future of regard to a range of activities. I wanted to ask you about the meaning of them.

Ur. Leawner. What was the date of these unidelines?

TOPSECRET

7.4%	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			Approved for Release: 2022/02/01 C00095085
· · · · · · · ·	<u></u>		-	
1	202) 544-60		1 .	Mr. Wides. You mean you are not aware of any such assis-
6	Are: 20		2	tance by the TSD?
	- - - - -	•	3	Dr. Gottleib. Right.
		•	4	Mr. Wides. Do you have any knowledge at all of any
			5	surrepticious entries by the Foreign Resources Division and the
			6	Domestic Operations Division in the US.
			7	Dr. Gottlieb. I do not.
			8	Mr. Wides. If the Technical Services Division had rendered
	—		9	such assistance during your tenure as Chief of the Technical
	: :ω	٠.	10	Services Division, is it likely that you would have known about
	CC		11	it?
	· ;	, ,	12	Dr. Gottlieb. I would have to say yes.
			(a)(4)	Mr. Wides. Was sthe Head of the so-called picks
	<i>C</i> .	3	c 14	and locks branch during most of your tenure as Chief?
	C)		. 15	I am a little hazv here on the specifics of
	Lii	1 3/2)(4) ¹⁶	career over this period that I was Chief of TSD. All
	: ~	:.5(0	17	was involved in activities for
	Š.		1.3	part of that period.
		20003	1:	Mr. Wides. And for part of it he was Chief of the Branch?
	•	. 5.6.	2	Dr. Gottlieh. I couldn't even remember that specificall".
		Washington, D.C.	2	Mr. Wides. What is the formal title of the branch within
		.E., war		TSD that was involved in the technology or means of surrep-
		itteel. S		ticious entry?
) f.m. \$		Dr. Gottlieb. Restate the question.
				Mr. Nides. I dian't mean the question to have any legal
			÷.	TOP SECRET

(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)			影響	Approved for Release: 2022/02/01 C00095085 RET
		000		
		444	1	purpose other than the establishment of a false identity, and
	9	Ares 202)	2	specifically that it should not be used to cast aspersions or
	•	Phone (A	5	in any aggressive way against the alleged the person whose
			4	identity was invoked. My question is whether you know of any
			5	use by CIA or by any other Agency of materials furnished by
			6	CIA in the way of false identitification or alias identification
			7	to discredit the person who was the subject of the documentation
i i			8	as distinct from simply to have a false identity. Is the
	- J		9-	question clear?
1	~ ∞ .		10	Dr. Gottlieb. Yes, the question is very clear. And my
-	≕.	·	11	answer is no.
. ,	۲-	Y .	12	Mr. Wides. And that would include not simply identifica-
		0 * < >	13	tion cards in the narrow sense but false documentation and
ę.	ල ස	\$	14	let me add an important qualification on that, I am not talking
	<u> </u>		15	about overseas operations with regard to foreigners as part of
ALCO IN	U)		16	
	! ~	•	17	specifically American citizens?
		,	18	
		20003	19	
		in, 0.C.	20	Mr. Wides. No. And that was ultimately in connection,
		Wasangton, D.C. 20003	2]	perhaps, with the discrediting operation. But I am talking
		s.f.:	22	
		i Street,	23	was to discredit the person who was the subject of the
		10 6 4231	24	
	-4.B	. 4	2	Mr. Lenzner. And you are asking specifically about
			\$	TOPSECRET

Mr. Wides. Were you aware through your general conversations with other senior personnel in the Agency of any efforts by CIA to go back to local or State law enforcement agencies where personnel had been trained by TSD as to their receiving training in an effort to encourage or request that they use

TOPSECRET

22

area as they could. Now, in any practical setup that is never possible. I am sure the Audio Operations Branch was aware of the nature of some of the targets. Being about three steps beyond that, I was to that extent less aware.

So he might have occasionally been aware of the category if not the identity of the invidividuals who have been over-heard, but less frequently than the Branch Chief?

Dr. Gottlieb. I think so.

Mr. Wides. And even then that was restricted to the operational --

Dr. Gottlieb. Restricted to the operational group that

Mr. Wides. Would there be any records, to your knowledge, or would you think there would be any records based on your experience in the Audio Branch regarding the nature of the target?

Dr. Gottlieb. Every effort would have been made to minimize that, is the best way I could answer that.

Mr. Wides. The next question, based on what we just covered, is whether you can recall ever being aware personally either that an American was the target of an overseas audio operation, or learning, without seeing the actual tapes, that an American or Americans can be overheard in th course of an audio operation?

(Witness confers with counsel)

TOP SECRET

a. C.

ĭ

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

10

17

22

26

Approved for Release: 2022/02/01 C00095085

C-:

 \Box

U.

Ţ

:

5

6

7

8

10 1:

> 12 13

1.

17

18

13

Ξ

. .

2:

. . .

:

Mr. Wides. Did that come up in part in regard to your

Dr. Gottlieb. I think my bese answer to that question --Mr. Wides. Excuse me. If you want to have any off the record colloquy with me on that, you may do so.

Dr. Gottlieb. I will do it off the record if it is helpful.

Mr. Wides. No.

Dr. Gottlieb. I think my best answer to that would be that I had an awareness generically that in connection with the MKCHAOS program there were audio operations that were probably targeted against Americans abroad, since I had a general awareness of what their mission was. But I was never aware specifically that on this day in that country this American.

Mr. Wides. Was there ever any discussion that either you participated in, or that you were aware of, regarding any constraints as a policy matter on conducting audio operations abroad against Americans?

Dr. Gottlich. Can we go off the record for a minute? (Off the record discussion.)

Mr. Wides. Back on the record.

Dr. Sottlieb. As Thief of the TSD I would normally not the

involved in discussions of that kind at all, in that context.

Mr. Wides. But I take it you were aware of the CHAOS program generally?

ur. Gottlieb. Sure:



Approved for Release: 2022/02/01 C00095085

15,5	N. S.	器器	Approved for Release: 2022/02/01 C00095085 T 2008	
4	Q			
) 544-600	1	Mr. Wides. And in response to an earlier guestion you	
	Ares 202)	2	indicated that you were not aware of any operations, or could	
	Phone (3	not recall any at least of that nature in the US, in which	
		4.	TSD had been involved where US citizens were targets, is that	l 1
		5	correct?	ĺ
		6	Dr. Gottlieb. That is correct.	
••		7	Mr. Wides. I take it from the fact of both answers that	•
		8	as far as you can recall and were aware, TSD's participation in so-called audio operations or surrepticious entry operations	i
· 	<u>r.</u>	9		
	8	10	in the US solely involved foreign targets in the sense of	:
	,	11	foreign facilities or foreign citizens?	•
	7	12	Dr. Gottlieb. That is correct.	:
6		13		•
		14		
		.3(a)(4	any kind of surveillance of newsmen such as Jack Anderson and	
	rv.	C 16	his associates, or	
	7	17		
	2	18	Dr. Gottlieb. I was not aware of any ASD involvement in	
	C. 2000	19		
	.hington, D.C. 2000	2:		
	, or use	. 2	(Off the record discussion.)	

Mr. Wides. Back on the record.

22

23

24

Just one last question in this category. Would the same negative answer he true in regard to any surveillance of that is, TSD involvement, that you were aware

7		2.4.		-23
7		8		
	•	544-6	1	
ş		202)	-	Let me put it affirmatively Do you know of any office
į		Mone (Ares 202)	2	which would have records on the instances in which Americans
1) • υ ο ι	3	
}		E		were overheard by audio operations abroad, CIA audio operations
-			4	Dr. Gottlieb. I will answer that question the same way I
			5	did informally a little earlier, and that is, I would look to
1			6	some of the staff elements around the DDP's office for that
			~	some of the staff elements alound the bbi s office for that
			7	information.
			8	Mr. Wides. I don't know what you mean by staff elements.
	<u>ක</u>		9	Dr. Gottlieb. There was a staff structure that involved
	С .		10	
	8		10	the CI Staff and the FI staff and several other staffs. I don'
	•	ي	11	remember all of them. And those were what I considered the
	4	J 4	12	staff structure around the office of DDP.
		¥ ∧ ¾	13	Mr. Wides. Off the record.
			14	(Off the record discussion.)
	C		15	Mr. Wides. Do you recall an instance in which TSD provide
	ED .	•	16	a relatively unsophisticated device to the FBI for use againment
	7	•	17	the domestic target?
		~	18	Mr. Lenzner. That is about as vaquely a worded question a
		C. 20003	19	I have ever heard. Do you have a memorandum that can help us
		910n, O.C.	20	on this?
200		Washing	21	Mr. Wides. No, I don't have it here.
		t, S.E.,	22	Mr. Lenzner. That could be everything from a toy do y
		31 Stree	23	know what the device was?
1		. 410 Fu	24	Mr. Wides. I think the implication from the context was
			25	that it was an audio surveillance.
THE COLUMN				IOPSECRET

Ţ

2:

25 🗒

Dr. Gottlieb. Thank you very much. And I want to say that we appreciate you accommodating our time schedule by going through this today.

Mr. Maxwell. Would you like to take a little break?

(A short recess was taken.)

Mr. Lenzner. Just for the record, I wanted to indicate that we have sent to the members of the Committee a latter that reflected our profound concern on reading the front page of the Washington Post on October 11, 1975 detailed disclosures of Dr. Gottlieb's testimony in Executive Session regarding certain events in the Congo in 1960 on which testimony was given on October 9, 1975. And I can assure the Committee that neither myself or Dr. Gottleib furnished any information that related to the substance or specifics of any testimony that Dr. Gottlieb gave, since it has been our position that the Committee should determine ultimately, in coordination with Executive agencies, what information has been made available to the public in the Committee's final report.

In dicussions with Mr. Schwarz, and other staff members, it is clear that the staff shares some concern about the lead of their sensitive and heretofore classified information, and our concern that the information was furnished to the new media whike our request for Dr. Gottlieb to testify anonymously and not be referenced by name in the final report was being considered by the members of the Committee.



. 2

3

4

5

6

S

- 11	
	I should tell the Committee that sometime ago I can give
	notes Nick Harrock of the
	New York Times called with some detailed information regardin
	Dr. Gottlieb's alleged participation in the matters he testi-
ł	fied about last week, and also inquired into matters which ma
5	fied about last week, and disconnection and the information relate to the testimony today, one of which was the information to
6	relate to the testimony today, one sources relating to
7	he claimed he obtained from Committee sources relating to
8	Chemo-hypnosis, a term I had not heard before, and Dr. Gottle
9_	participation in that activity, and also information related
10	thing called Operation. Hilltop which he described
11	5:20 on August 27th and Said Operation
12	series of experiments and procedures to the
1:	s manle to withstand mind hending drugs, income
1	breatment, and that the Inspector General of the
1	those experiments, and that they were farmed
	the consent of the DDP, to two private organizations
1	that the project was run under the sup-
]	He also said that the privision.
	of the Technical Services Division. Ile said that there had been injuries as a result of the said that there had been injuries.
	9 He said that there had been Injura-

tests, but no deaths.

And then he went into -- he said, we couldn't because he had this information from good sources in both Rockefeller Commission and the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. And he described in some detail the



à		27 Approved for Release: 2022/02/01 C00095085
3	1	He called subsequent to that acall and gave me further
		He called subsequence of the called Operation Hilltop which I recorded information on the so-called Operation Hilltop which I recorded
	Phon. (Art. 202)	information on the so-called information of the so-called information on the so-called information on the so-called information on the so-called information of t
	£ . 4	1 The Control of the
	5	that last date that I gave you.
	5	Mr. Maxwell. Off the record.
	6	(Off the record discussion:)
	8	Mr. Lenzner. That is all I have.
	CV . 9	Mr. Maxwell. On the record. I think I can speak for the Committee in saying that the
	0, 10	I think I can speak for the Committee's rules and procedures were designed in order that the
	. 11	Committee's rules and ploosant rights of witnesses would be protected insofar as possible,
74	12	
	13	not be divulged without
1	O 14	
		that you have made of the
		by them and dealt with appropriatory.
		li i
	1	
		Mr. Maxwell. On Mr. Maxwell. We found after that telephone call which
	, o o o	Cottlieb greatly, after the news
		obviously concerned Dr. Goldfan. arcticle that we received telephone calls from other that
		Br. Hick Horrock again 150
		The strain of that Dr. Gottlieb make him against and the strain of the s
		both on TV and for the news
		TOP CRET
		IUF TURL

2

media.

3

4

5

8

.

O . 10

14 15

18

19 20

21 · 22

23

23

25

our posture of allowing the Committee with the Agencies determine what information should be made available to the public. But we found it most difficult, particularly in the area of incorrect reporting of the news leaks, that is to say,

inaccurate information being provided with regard to Dr.

that will be leaked to the press. And in one instance I did tell both reporters that while I couldn't discuss the details of the testimony, for obvious reasons, that the impression that the Washington Post article left was that whatever occurred regarding my client, which went up to and including the death of Mr. Lumumba, was totally false.

Mr. Maxwell. I want to make clear for the record that you in fact are knowledgeable about your rights, Dr. Gottlieb, and the circumstances under which you are testifying. It is my understanding that this is voluntary, and that you are accompanied by counsel, that you are aware that you have the right to terminate this interview at anytime, and you have the right to remain silent. You have a right, if you would like, to not go any further without a Senator present, and I understand from your presence here that until such time as you otherwise indicate, you are agreeable to remaining.

You understand that you have a right to have a Senator

TOP SECRET

Control of the Contro

	02) 544-8000	1	
	Phone (Area 202)	2 3	
	£	5 4	
	<i>.</i> .	5	
		6	
		7	
		8 9	
្រែ		9	1
0 6		10	
	!	11	
4.	FAUL	12	
	WARD & PAUL	13	
0	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	14	
F 0 0 G		18	;
		16	- }
2		ı	7
		18	8
	20003	1	9
	on, 0.C	2	0
	Jashingt	2	:1
	. × 	2	22
	Street	2	23
	410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003	;	24
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		25

The dominant CIA program began, to the best of my knowledge, under Project Bruebird, and it changed names to Project ARTICHOKE. And it is on those two programs, and their intercession with other CIA research programs and other DOD programs, on which it would like to focus today.

The mode that I would like to use is to go through a series of documents, with you, and hope you recall what was being done at that time. At certain point I will be a sking you about documents which were written prior to your joining the Agency, but which you might have seen and which might help you recall the events of those times.

I understand the difficulty in harking back 20-odd years, and I appreciate your effort in doing do.

Dr. Gottlieb. Pardon me for a minute. I want to consult with my counsel.

(Witness confers with counsel.)

Dr. Gottleib. I would like to ask you a question. Has Morse Allen appeared and given sworn testimony?

Mr. Maxwell. He hasn't given sworn testimony. He was interviewed at his house. And he went over all of the information we had at that point on BLUEBIRD and ARTICHOKE to find out whether he was unable to substantially recall any of the events of that time.

Dr. Gottlieb. He was unable? I mention that only because he seems to be the key individual.



	لأسم ويجذه	(1)	**			. 7 2		ľ
-	0	track T			-	-		L
		187 TR 5	1 2 34	247 E		-	72	1
∴ .	E 18	14.67	. 4.00	MPT .				ř,
		M . F	- ∡3300	77 TA	P4.	K .	- 40	ſ

answer that as a result of reading those files I have a remer brance that there was some, what I called ARTICHOKE activity which to me means barbituates administered in the truth serum quote, unquote, mode in a sort of a medical setting — that there were those kinds of activities planned for and I think finally carried out in Europe.

Mr. Maxwell. We have some information later on as to the use of ARTICHOKE techniques as well as BLUEBIRD techniques.

Dr. Gottlieb. Can I ask you how you differentiate those two? Because I am confused. They are almost synonymous in my mind, and mixed up.

Mr. Maxwell. The only difference is whether it was prior to the fall of 1951. At that time it was renamed because of the number of people who were aware of the BLUEBIRD cryptonyn Dr. Gottlieb. I see.

Mr. Maxwell. We have some information about such techniques used against defectors which are involved in the files that you haven't had a chance to review as yet.

Dr. Gottlieb. There was a last memo I think that talked about the use of ARTICHOKE.

Mr. Maxwell. When you mentioned the ARTICHOKE technique it is associated in your mind with barbituates. And that wo include what substances?

Dr. Gottlieb. It would include substances like nombutal and I am really not an expert in this area, but I whole seri

TOP SPERE

24

25

of what I call hypnotics or sleep inducing materials. And as
I remember it, the attempts was to catch a person on his way
down to the sleep stage, and hope that he would be more open and
vulnerable to interrogation. But the important thing is to me
that it was done in a sort of a medical setting.

Mr. Maxwell. And that means what to you?

Dr. Gottlieb. To me the man was aware in some way of some reason for his being in this medical setting, with physicians around him, getting injections.

Mr. Maxwell. Your reference to a medical setting, this would also in your mind be associated with the presence of a physician?

Dr. Gottlich. Not necessarily, but just maybe a play acting medical setting.

Mr. Maxwell. Were there any hazards involved in the use of such substances such as nembutal for interrogation? And this is not calling for expert testimony on your part?

Dr. Gottlieb. I am not a pharmacologist or an expert.

I really don't think I can answer that accurately. As a layman I think there are always hazards when you use something like harbituates. There are hazards when you use aspirin. But I would rather not answer that in any expert way.

Mr. Maxwell. This would only call for the best of your information, given your participation in later interrogations.

Dr. Gottlieb. I was never present at an ARTICHOKE

TOP STERET

罗拉			TOP SECRET	3 €
	0009-1			
	02) 54	1	interfogation personally and the second seco	
	(Area 202)	2	Mr. Maxwell. Are you differentiating, then, ARTICHOKE	
	Phone (A	3	interrogations from interrogations using materials described	
	-	4	by CIA as $\Lambda-2$ or $P-1?$	
	,	5	Dr. Gottlieb. Very much so. That was my point.	
		6	Mr. Maxwell. WThe differentiation you were drawing is what?	
		7	Dr. Gottlieb. That ARTICHOKE was almost restricted in my	
		8	understanding to the kind of an operation I referred to before	
-		9	where there would be a team, usually including a doctor in some	
-	.!	. 10	sort of a medical setting. And there would be an injection of	
6 -		11	a sleep producing material. And I believe they called the	
~	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	12	technique narcohypnosis. And I am saying that I was never	
0	4 O K Y 2 O K	13	present at one of those.	
) ()	•	14		
0		15	· II	
ហ	i	16	that they had a generic name	
	•	17	for it.	I
	_	18	Mr. Maxwell. Did you refer to it at all in any specific	
	20003	19	•,	
	11711119101. D.C. 20003	20		,
(Constitution)	01601418,	21	or an A-2 interrogation. I don't know of a generic term that	
	S.E ¥	22	, ¹	:
	4 10 First Street.	23	Mr. Maxwell. P-1, I take it, refers to LSD, is that correct	;t?
6	10 Firs	24	ii	•
	~ ₹	25	5 Mr. Maxwell. And A-2 refers to what?	t : :
	:		TOP SECRET,	:

(Ares 202) 544-6000

CC

 \Box

1~

10 First Street, S.E., Washington, O.C. 20003

24 3

TOP SECRET

Dr. Gottlieb. As I remember it, -- and you know I could be wrong here, but my remembrance is that it is a drug called Meretran, which had a benzedrine action.

Mr. Maxwell. For the record can you describe the effect of the two effectively in your experience?

Dr. Gottlieb. Do you mean in myself administering it, or my watching it, or what?

experienced it when it was administered to you either by yourself or by other people. And you might divide that up into periods of time when it was administered to you wittingly of the circumstances of administration, and secondly, administered to you at a time when you were not aware that it was going to be administered, and then thirdly, when you administered it to someone and they were witting of its administration and finally, when you administered it to someone who was unwitting of its administration.

Dr. Gottlieb. I don't know that I can satisfactorily divide it up in the fine shae you are talking about. But loss me approach it in my own way. Let me make the point first them I always follow the practice of being fairly familiar with a drug like this on myself before I get involved in the adminitiation of it to anyhody else, in an experimental or any other kind of setting. And I think most of the useful ramarks is the make about this refer to my own experiences with it, because

TOP SPERET

er egyster bless

3.8

most of it is subjective, and most of those drugs have a significantly subjective effect.

I would say that LSD had by and large an effect that I would call disorienting, otherworldly, gave you proprioceptions, which means perceiving feeling in your self as opposed to feelings of things outside yourself; like seeing a door as opposed to feeling something inside your own body. And I was making the point that the main actions of LSD have to do with this disorientation, the production of bazaar feelings in the fields of color perception, in the fiels of how you perceive your own body. And it has an effect, as I remember it, -- I am trying to think of the term for the opposite of dilating the pupils of your eyes.

Mr. Ralston. Contracting pupils?

Dr. Gottlieb. Yes, contracting the pupils of the eyes.

The last time I took it was a long time ago. So I am having trouble. I really am trying to recollect that.

Mr. Maxwell. You referred to it in your opening statement.

but it might be appropriate to have it on the record here.

Do you recall approximately how many times you administered

LSD yourself?

Dr. Gottlieb. I would guess, if you combine the times I administered it myself and the times it was administered to me in an attempt to get some meaning on the unwitting administration, I would say from six to 12 times, I think that is the



410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Lri

Phone (Area 202)

one (Ares 202) 544-6000 1 2

5

4

5

6

7

8

used. But I only remember that.

Mr. Maxwell. Can you differentiate at all the experiences that you had when you were witting of its administration and when you were not, at least at the time?

Dr. Gottlieb. I was thinking about that just before you asked that. I don't think so. When it was administered to mo unwittingly I was quite familiar with the feelings and I identified it, now I have LSD.

Mr. Maxwell. So if I am correct, you are saying that you were not administered the drug unwittingly prior to your having taken it and known that you were taking LSD?

Dr. Gottlieb. That is correct.

Mr. Maxwell. Do you have any notion of how many times yo took it wittingly before it was administered unwittingly? Dr. Gottlieb. Three or four times.

I was going to get to the Meretran next. Meretran was a drug that had very little overtly perceivable effects, as I remember it. It was mostly what they call naleptic, somethin which keeps you awake, something which is -- again I will use the term "a psychic energizor," which make you feel energetic and sort of with it. It was kind of an up drug. And I don't know that I can really say anymore. I am not giving a medic: lecture on those drugs. I am not qualified to do it. My knowledge of them is outdated, I am sure.

Mr. Maxwell. What was the purpose for using Meretran in

TOP S

9 10 11 12

13 0 14 C

S 16 17

0

Washington, O.C. 2000] 19 20

15

18

21

22 23

25

Ţ

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

interrogation? **学习的企业**

Dr. Gottlieb. It would be basically to get the interrogatee to be charged up and with you and sort of eager to work, and maybe have him lose a little sleep, to get a certain, what I would call, psychic advantage.

Mr. Maxwell. Would the theory be that if the individual was induced chemically to go beyond those point of fatigue which he or she would normally not go behond, that the ____ resistance to questioning or to suggestion would be less?

Dr. Gottlieb. As I remember the work on Meretran itself, it turned out to be not a very attractive drug for interroga tion by itself, except in cases where you only wanted a small effect, you were almost there. But I can't say yes to your question. I don't remember following that particular line of analysis of how it worked in interrogation.

Mr. Maxwell. I am not understanding, then, why you would use it.

Dr. Gottlieb. Well, you could keep a prisoner awake, say, by just talking to him. You could also keep him awake in a manner that might make him worry about why he couldn't sleep by giving him a Meretran. It might be termed, I guess, a manner of stress that could be applied covertly. Does that make it clear?

Mr. Maxwell. Yes.

Mr. Ralston. Dr. Gottlieb, may I ask a question about the



ហ

10

17 18 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003 19

21

20

22

23 24

25

			TOPSFORETA
	(0003		
	2 2 2	1	LSD. Did you notice at the times when you took it a difference
	202 23	2	
	one (A	5	in the onset time of the drug when you were witting and when
	Æ		you were unwitting?
	} :	4	Dr. Gottlieb. I don't remember a significant difference
	•	5	there.
		, 6	Mr. Maxwell. Would you feel uncomfortable about attempting
		7	to describe your perceptions of the reactions of individuals
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	88	to whom you administered either Meretran or LSD?
9.		9	Dr. Gottlieb. I don't think I would feel uncomfortable
		: 10	THE ACTION OF THE PERSON OF TH
σ, ;		11	in doing that. I think I would feel a little inadequate. I
*	,		think one of the things we concluded at some point, after a
	4	12	lot of work in this field, was that they were a little unpre-
\bigcirc	××	13	dictable, and that there was no standard sequence of events,
C		14	at least in a behavioral sense. And that is why I sort of
0		.15	hesitate without somehow having my memory jogged by something
ហ		16	we wrote or by an incident where there is such a description,
7		17	I would hesitate now to give you som-thing which I consider
		18	a standing observed reaction.
	2003	i	
	Washington, O.C. 20003	19	Mr. Maxwell. Perhaps later on as we go through the reports
	ngtan.	20	of the interrogation that involve those chemicals you may be
	Wash	21	able to help.
	t, S.E.,	22	Dr. Gottliëb. Sure.
	st Street.	23	- Mr. Maxwell. There is a memorandum that is dated 20 March
0	10 fee	.3(a)(4)	1952 for the Deputy Director for Central Intelligence on the
		C 25	subject of project .
			TOP SERET

42

Dr. Gottlieb. Yes. That was a cryptonym I didn't remember

at all when I read this

1

2

5

4

5

6

7

8

, 9

10

11

13

13

14

15

16

17

15

Jэ

20

21

22

23

24

25

C.

 \subset

 \bigcirc

L,

1

Mr. Maxwell: It is a lively crytonym. And I was wondering if you recall that project, as you are listed as a possible member of the project advisory group when you were RDD?

Dr. Gottlieb. Tican givenyou a very precise reaction I had when I read this. This was one of the many ideas that never went through. I never remember an active, on-going project of this name. I think it was a proposal that was made and nothing ever happened.

Mr. Maxwell. My understanding was that there was a proposal to be a testing facility within the CIA for use in connection with ARTICHOKE.

Dr. Gottlieb. I don't remember that ever happening.

You were asking me about their capability. I don't remember any facility within CIA for exparimenting in the manner that this memo implies, because it doesn't really describe it being carried out. I think-you will remember that in my prepared statement I did mention a period of time at which we did administer the drug to CIA employees for what we called defensive pharmacology purposes so that they would be familiar with it if they ever got it again. And that was done in a facility, as I remember it, one of the training facilities.

But it certainly wasn't a special facility, it was nothing special, it was just using the room with certain people in it.

TOP STERET

					14	
32.7		1962	煩縈			TOP SECRET
202		33		逐步		
	3.3	``.				
	1	. 8				The state of the s
G.	₹ `	, 3		1		Mr. Maxwell Were those tests conducted only on members
6		202				
	39 7 √1 ^	(A:		2	O	f TSS? The state of the state o
		<u>.</u>		3		Dr. Gottlieb No there were some other they were
		£		3		Dr. Gottlieb North
				4		conducted, as I remember it; on people in the operational side,
差.						thomselves, or someone
				5	2	and some in the TDDP, who either felt themselves, or someone
象				. 6		lse felt ought to have that training.
				7		Mr. Maxwell. 2 How were those people made known of the
				•		
				8		possibility-of-having such-training?
						Dr. Gottlieb. They were completely witting of what was
	CO:			9		
2	1		-	10		going to happen and why, and all that.
				10		going to happen
	Ī			11		Mr. Maxwell. Throughout the Agency, or throughout the
	Ī		7			DDP, how were people told that they could participate?
	-6-1-6-0-0-0		.	12		DDP, how were people told that in
		1	0	13		Dr. Gottlieb. It wasn't that kind of a program. They were
	\sim		∢ }	10		it wasn't a paper that said,
	-			14		approached and I forget how it wasn't a paper that said,
	\odot			_		anybody that wants LSD show up or present your case. It was
				. 15	·	anybody that wants hop blow it
	ហ			. 16		much more a program of somehow I don't remember the
				10	'	much more in the Soviet :
		-		17	7	mechanism of people who were going to serve in the Soviet
						Union or in placed that would be exposed, they were approached,
				18	3	Union or in placed that would be
			Washington, D.C. 20003	1	ا	and told, we have this capability of exposing you to this drug,
			ن	1		the interested in it is
			ġ.	2	0	and the reason we think you might be interested in it is
			ngtor			because you might someday be covertly attacked by it.
			14.	2	1	because you might someday be out -
						Mr. Lenzner. You used the word tests. Those weren't
		Ę	vi	ž	22	
			31.46	2	23	actually tests. They were actually considered a part of
		}	430 First Street.	_		training. Maybe they were evaluating reactions, but it was
	6		10 F	:	24	training. Maybe they were diagrams.
	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	~		o E	mainly training.
					25	maining country
						TOP SECRET

		Approved 1	for Release: 2022/02/01 C00095085
\$\tau\chi\chi\chi\chi\chi\chi\chi\chi\chi\chi	ing second		TOP SECRET
		* 3000 m	
3			
	1	Dr.	Gottlieb. Certainly.
	2	in the second	Maxwell Could you have any recollection of roughly
	₹ .		
	5	how ma	ny people were involved?
	4	Dr	ny people wele in my prepared statement, I sa Gottlieb. I mentioned in my prepared statement, I sa
	-	7.	ing between six and 11, something like that.
	5	someth	ing between 81X and 12 people
	6		Maxwell: In regard to the administration to people
	7	within	n the DDP? It with the that.
	8	· D:	r. Gottlieb. Tyes. There was a small number like that.
		:	he program was discontinued, and I don't remember why.
	9	And t	he program was the enoculate on this.
	10	T thi	nk maybe I just don't want to speculate on this.
<u>о</u> .			Just as a little more background to this point we were c
	11	J	e was some evidence in reports from Eastern Europe and
4	12	There	e was some evidence in reports from the balieve
	4		that made several people in the Agency and
	13	Sovi	et Union that made that we this might well be a technique or an instrument that w
	14	that	this might well be a technique
C.	. /		ng used by the Soviets and satellites.
0	15	peru	ng used of that at all?
រោ.	. 10		Mr. Maxwell. Can you expand on that at all?
2	3		Dr. Gottlieb. I don't know that I can expand on speci:
	1		orts, but I remember particularly during that period the
	1	repo	orts, but I remember parameters, but of that area a
	2		ery prominent American diplomat came out of that area a
	2 7	a v	de some very inappropriate remarks, and there was a lot
	o ¿	bem (de some very inapproprati
	110016	spe	de some very indepression de some very indepression that he was under this kind of attack. And the equipment is some forwing to the sound of sounds and the sound of sounds and the sound of sounds are in the sound of sounds.
	***	- Si ¹	re several cases where we read reports of Soviets I
	Streel, S.E., Warnington, D.C. 20003	2 we	re several cases where the several cases where out
	trect.	3 th	ere was something here that Terry was just pointing out
	<u>~</u>	J CII	ere was some ere or soviet agents that

there was something here that Terry was just pointing out where some Scvict either defectors or Soviet agents that intercepted having hypodermic needles -- I guess maybe th

24

						Approved for Release: 2022/02/01 C00095085
			202) 344 604		(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	hasn't got to do with LSD Mr. Maxwell? I think the memo indicates that the claim
	1		Phone (A		5 4	was Dr. Gottlieb. That they would be amenable to the will of
	٠ (غۇرۇپ	ř.			5	the captor. The point we were making is that we didn't know anything
					7 8	about LSD at the time (maybe that (was one of its possible
	が必要	2-0-7		-	9	Mr. Lenzner Fi have seen other memorandums in your files Mr. Lenzner Fi have seen other memorandums in your files that indicate evidence that the Soviet Union and other countries
the Carlotte Control of the Ca		-6+1-1-	۸ د د		11	were administering them, or there was some indication that an assumption could be made that LSD was being administered
		0-0-0	9 0 2 4		13	covertly by the Soviet Union. Mr. Maxwell. For the record, I would like to read this
		-00-S			15 16	paragraph of a memorandum from the Chief of the Medical Staff, dated Janury 25, 1952. It is paragraph 9 of that memorandum
		7			17	entitled "Summary and Opinion." "There is ample evidence in the rports of inumerable
		٠	.c. 2000.)	,	18 19	interrogations that the Communists are utilizing drugs, payors duress, electric shock, and possibly hypnosis against their
The state of the s			5.E., Washington, O.C. 2000.)		20	enemies. With such evidence it is difficult not to keep from
		. •	enst Street, S.E.		22	this mounting evidence to assume a more agressive role in the
Park in the Park Complete				7	2	maintain strict inviolable control because of the havoc that
		ţ				TOPECRET

透透	· 宗認				TOP SECRET
		7		7.5.4.4 7.5.4.4	
		0009-1			
7		mone (Ares 202) 544-6		1	could be wrought by such techniques in unscrupulous hands."
Č,		A. 20		2	Mr. Lenzner. What was the date of that memo?
1) •vou		5	Mr. Maxwell. January 1952.
		G.		4	Dr. Gottlieb. And written by whom?
Ì				5	Mr. Maxwell. It was written by a medical staff officer.
				6	Dr. Gottlieb. What was his name?
				7	Mr. Maxwell. The name was not on the memorandum. I didn't
			*	8	see any necessity in this instance to get it from the Agency.
	_		٠.	9	Dr. Gottlieb. And it was dated January 1952?
	2	-		10	Mr. Maxwell. Yes. It was based on a commentary that the
	(C)	ı		11	individual was making about the evaluation of the role of the
	~	7.40		12	Office of Security in the ARTICHORE project.
		0 4 4		13	There is a memorandum dated 22 March 1952 for the
	0	\$		14	Assistant Deputy, the Inspection and Security Staff, and the
	0			15	Chief of the Medical Staff.
	5			16	Dr. Gottlieb. We have it.
	7			17	Mr. Maxwell. The first paragraph indicates the Chief of
	į			18	Plans had discussed with Mr. Dulles, the Deputy Director
1	A A CONTROL	0000		19	and at that time I guess he was still Head of OPC.
		i C		20	Dr. Gottlieb. Nr. Dulles? No, he was never Head of OPC
		10000 O.C. 20003		21	I am sorry, my memory of that history is mixed up.
		3	۶ 	22	Mr. Maxwell. He would be DDP at that time?
		;	Str • • 1.	23	Dr. Gottlieb. I would say so, yes. This is Assistant,
· Var		· •	10 First	24	
			7	25	Mr. Maxwell. The proposed experiments were to be conducted
	<u>-</u>				TOP SPERET

		25.7 25.7 25.7 25.7 25.7 25.7 25.7 25.7	TOP SIGNET
	8	200	
	34460	1	within the Agency on various interrogation techniques under
	, Prone (Area 202) 54	2	the overall direction of OSI. Do you recall proposals for a
	/ a c	3	series of experiments within the Agency on interrogation
	Ê		techniques that might be referred to by this memorandum?
		4	techniques that might be referred to by thing
• •	1.3(a)(4)	5	Dr. Gottlieb. I can only tie it into this thing
•	(a)(i)	6	we were reading before, as I remember it, while it is actually
		7	the memo before it in my book, that they were apparently
		8	improved provisionally or absolutely and really never went the
Q	•	9	way.
01	-	10	Mr. Maxwell. I don't think I have difficulty in linki
6		11	this to a proposal, because we don't have any paper that
6.	- -	12	shows what you might be referring to.
4	4 0 A A	13	Dr. Gottlieb. And there is no reference here. But just
Ċ	, ,	14	the time chronologically.
		15	Mr. Maxwell. There is one difference, though, in that
Ĺ	•	16	TSS would actually run the experiments under the proposal.
ľ	~	17	It is not worth pursuing. But I just hoped that that might
		18	trigger some recollection of what your ideas.
	1003		Dr. Gottlieb. I am sorry, I cant' help you there. You
	o.c. 26	19	want to know what the experiments were that he is approving.
	ngton.	20	I can't help you on that. I don't recall. It is really
	Slieel, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003	21	I can't help you on that. I don't know what they confusing when you read paragraph 3. I don't know what they
	61, 5.5.	22	confusing when you read paragraph s.
	111 Stre	23	
	\$ 10 Fi	24	providing supervision, advice and qualified personner
		25	a very confusing way to organize something to me.

TOP SEGN

Mr. Maxwell. Was TSS at that point, March 1952, conducting experiments for the Agency in interrogation techniques?

Dr. Gottlieb Not to my remembrance. What we were doing at that time is, as I tried to sketch at least in the prepared statement, is that we were beginning to contact some outside contractors and trying to get some data on LSD. The thurst of our interest at the time as not so much on interrogation as a thesis, as a theme, a focus; but what does LSD do, and some other drugs, I don't remember all of them. Certainly we had interrogation in the back of our minds but I am assuming when you say interrogation you mean experiments that are testing a drug under some --

Mr. Maxwell. Do you recall the durgs aside from LSD that are the subject of interest on the part of TSS?

Dr. Gottlieb. My problem there is that I can give you a list of some drugs that come to mind. But I can't say for sure at what period they came in. There was LSD, Meretran, and something called psillocybin. There was mescaline, and there was something called tetrachydro-cannabilnol, the active constituent of marijuana. I can't name any others right now, but that was a representative group.

Mr. Maxwell. Was TSS involved in experiments with Sodium pentathol amytal?

Dr. Gottlieb. No. What TSD was interested in, though.
what was we referred to -- and you may have read this

TOP SECKET

use. Now, that doesn't mean there couldn't have been times that chloralhydrate was suggested or used. But I don't have a clear memory of that.

TOP SPERET

24

25

Land Belling to the Water Contact

2

3

4

5

6.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LIT:

C.

 \bigcirc

S

TOP SECKET

Lenzner You are grimacing Listhere something that you referred to specifically?

Dr. Gottlieb. You know, I haven't read those.

Mr. Maxwell gunderstand that gildon't have any evidence that in fact they were administered

The knitting of brows, which I take not to be agreement, referred to the utilization of the phrase "K tablets" in a CSI issuance number 220-10 which refers to MKDELTA materials, and describes them as "certain chemical and biological materials (including K and L tablets) capable of producing human behavioral or physiological changes. And this was issued on 22 July 1960, and replaced DDP notice 220-1: dated 20 October 1952. That suggested to me, not necessarily that they were ever used operationally, but that DDT at that time considered itself to have such material available.

Dr. Gottlieb. That is best explainable by me by saying that there were many requests for this sort of thing, always to be answered in the negative, in the sense of trying to get into a building and needing to overpower a guard, during the Vietnam War to capture a courier that we knew had documents of interest, and that sort of thing. And the capability, I guess, would be considered to be something about chloralhydrat or that state of the art.

Mr. Maxwell. If a request came for a K pill or K tablet to whom would it be referred and to the best of your

跨点 医 经工作股份 电影 化二

Dr. Gottlieb. I wouldn't dispute that.

TOP SE

		TOPSECRET
22 St	1 '	Mr. Maxwell What I wanted to call your attention to wa
	2	paragraph 5 of memo, in which he indicates that
် 1 နို (a)(4) -	TSS has let some contracts in this field with the prior
ě c		
	4	concurrence of And I was wondering if you rec
	. 5	given your position at that time with TSS, generally what the
	6	research projects were?
). (*)	7	Dr. Gottlieb. I can't respond to that in any other way
	8	than by saying that they might have been some of the earlier
~	9	research contracts on LSD.
ΟV,	10	Mr. Maxwell. As early as 21 May 1952?
C··	10	
 ر	11	Dr. Gottlieb. Yes.
,; o	12	(Witness confers with counsel.)
٥٤	13	Dr. Cottlieb. Our concern here is that my memory is not
	14	good on this point. It could have been LSD work or it could
Q	15	have been other work. And I don't remember the specific
Lir :	16	nature of the contracts. Maybe they were even contracts
1~	17	trying to get at pharmacological projects on animals and som
	18	things, or it could have been contracts that had to do with
20003	19	humans. I am just not sure.
٠, ٥.6.	20	Mr. Maxwell. I would like to read the transmittal slip
Stantagi	21	that you wrote which I believe it seems logical, and you
. s.e., w	22	agree with that refers to the proposal for interim fiel:
t Street	23	testing.
(1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	24	Dr. Gottlieb. The proposal for interim field testing?
	25	Mr. Denzher. He is reading your buck slip that he say
	·	TOP SPERET
	FINI Street, S.E., Warhington, D.C. 20003	133(a)(4) 5 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

	100 Poles 2002/02/04 C0000F00F
	Approved for Release: 2022/02/01 C00095085
	TOP SECRET 55
8	
1	agree refers to the May 1571952 memo.
2	n-t-co+tleb. Lisee
	Mr. Maxwell Ttreads: "Tiread the enclosed TSD document
5 E	Mr. Maxwell. Mr. Mr. Maxwell. Mr. Maxwell. Mr. Maxwell. Mr. Mr. Maxwell. Mr. Maxwell. Mr. Maxwell. Mr. Mr. Mr. Mr. Mr. Mr. Mr. Mr. Mr. Mr
4 0101(4)	and, as knows, I am In Contain the make up for
1.3(a)(4)	it. It seems to me to be a belated attempt to make up for
6	or Vof Confusion and ineffectiveness.
	two or three years two or three years the study in this way, if it is to be the security in doing the study in this way, if it is to be
7	the security in doing the security in doing at a lifthis is supposed to be covered up as a done at all. If this is supposed to be covered up as a
8	done at all If this is supposed transparent.
9	defensive feasibility study, it is pretty damned transparent.
r) 10	There is a lot of obvious experimental work that needs to be
6	doesn't even begin to get it done.
	\\ · \
12	"Signed, Sid."
13	Is that your handwriting?
13	Dr. Gottlieb. Yes.
O:	have a question in connection with that?
	Mr. Maxwell. I just wanted the record to reflect what the
D 16	· 1
1'	transmittal slip showed.
1	transmittal slip show There is one other piece in that time period that I would There is one other piece in that time period that I would There is one other piece in that time period that I would There is one other piece in that time period that I would There is one other piece in that time period that I would There is one other piece in that time period that I would There is one other piece in that time period that I would There is one other piece in that time period that I would There is one other piece in that time period that I would There is one other piece in that time period that I would There is one other piece in that time period that I would There is one other piece in that time period that I would that I would that I would the time period the time period that I would the time period the time
ç.	of the refer you to. It is a memo dated 26 April 1932 William
. i	9 like to refer you to: 10 I think shaped the memorandum of May 15th on interim field
ton. D	I think shaped the memory that the statement in testing. And I wanted your response to the statement in
State of the state	testing. And I wanted your responds
Street, S.E.: Washington, D.C.	testing. And I wanted the lack of paragraph 2(c): "A major factor contributing to the lack of
,	is present techniques, as well as our industries
First St	has been the difficulty in obtaining out.
	develop new ones, has been develop new ones, has been the operational teams and the tent medical support, both for the operational teams and the
	25 tent medical support, both for the
	TOP STERET

research effort.

in the end there

As you recall the period of time preceding that, and the period of time immediately following this memorandum, would it be a fair characterization of that period of time to say that there had been difficulty in the Agency in obtaining qualified medical support for the operational teams and for the research effort?

That is 23 years ago, and a detail like that is just not -
Mr. Maxwell. Was it difficult for you in organizing
research for TSS to find competent medical support?

Dr. Gottlieb. One of the confusions is, if you are talking about research effort as they talk about here, as I remember it, and as I tried to say in my opening statement, this thing kind of mounted shlowly. And although there was trouble, because this was a relatively new drug and a relatively new field, in finding many people that had any expertise in it — I don't remember finding people who were willing and able to work on this to the extent they were physicians on the research side, and that was a side. That doesn't speak at all as to getting doctors to be members of the ARTICHORE team. First they wanted two teams, one in ______ and one in the Far East. I remember this mostly as referring to that difficulty. I don't remember the getting of qualified people, and doctors especially were a problem that I can remember from this long

TOP SECRET

Complication of the contraction of the contraction

dia	311.3902	V Craver	THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
100		THE STATE	TOP SECRETA
	(
1	344-6	1	
1	Phone (Area 202)	2	ago.
	n• (Are		Mr. Maxwell. When you were at TSS did you have any
	Ę	3	discussions about the methods that were being used in the field
		4	interrogations by the ARTICHOKE teams?
		5	Dr. Gottlieb. Discussions with whom?
		6	Mr. Maxwell. With other members of TSS.
		7	Dr. Gottlieb Tiguess I probably did. It was a matter
		8	
,	V		of interest to me, although I wasn't directly involved in it.
		9	I think the thrust - You could put it, the thrust of one of the
	> -	10	aims of our research program was to get a technique that was
-	 J	11	more covert than the ARTICHOKE technique. So I said, we must
7	·~	12	have talked about it, sure.
	WAND	13	Mr. Maxwell. And the covertness focuses on the fact that
c		14	the ARTICHORE team, according to your recollection, required
כ		15	the individuals to be in a situation where they knew or per-
		16	ceived that they were receiving medication of some form?
•		17	Dr. Gottlieb. That is what I referred to.
		18	Mr. Maxwell. And the attempt was, in the interrogation
	S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003	19	that you were in, to conduct them without the subject knowing
	ton, D.	20	that they were receiving any
	Washing	21	Dr. Gottlieb. That was the general idea, or to get as
,		22	close to that kind of capability as we could.
	10 Fust Street.	23	(Off the record discussion.)
	410 Fun	24	Mr. Mamwell. I call your attention to a memorandum dated
		25	July 14, 1952. It is a memorandum on the subject of Project -
			TOP SECRET

					TOP SECRET
		(3) F	8		58
			344-600	1	
	•		202)	2	and the name of the project has been whited out. And it is
			o (Ar		from the senior intelligence officer, who I take to be
		ĺ	£ 3	(a)(4)°	
				C 4	Dr. Gottlieb. What is the date again, please?
				5	Mr. Maxwell. July 14, 1952. This memorandum
				6	Dr. Gottlieb. I am not sure that I have that.
				7	Mr. Maxwell. This memorandum was prepared and is essen-
		W		8	tially in draft form, the result of a series of memorandums
*		ta.		9	which went back and forth within the Agency between the Office
		C. }		10	of Scientific Intelligence and the DDP, in order to determine
			ı	11	the allocation of responsibilities under Project ARTICHOKE.
		4	4	12	And I wanted to call your attention first to the paragraph 3(a)
	•		Q ¥ ∀	13	and the notation there that operations would be under the
				14	control of the Chief of Mission.
				. 15	Dr. Gottlieb. Right. Okay.
1		വ്		16	Mr. Maxwell. You had differentiated Project ARTICHOKE
		[<u>~</u> [17	from another project for which we have not assigned the name
		1		18	in regard to LSD or Merctran testing.
			. 20003	19	Dr. Gottlieb. Yes.
			on, D.C.	20	Mr. Maxwell. The draft memorandum there indicates that
18.0			1917,109	21	THE ARTICHORD testing would be done under the control
			. S.E., v	. 22	eventually of the Chief of Mission in the country in which it
			N Sireel	23	took place. Do you have any recollection of whether there was
			4107 11	24	similar control by the Chief of Mission in regard to the
				25	interrogations in the program involving P-1 or λ -2, and if wol.
			··		TOP SERET
		:			

TOP SECRET what was the joine of authority in regard to those projects? 2 Dr. Gottlieb If you define the words CIA Mission Chief 3 as the senior field CIA official (in the particular locality 4 where this was going on there wasn't any question that he, 5 together with his Headquarters reflection, the cognizant Headquarters desk and division were in total charge of that 6 kind of operation. TSD or TSS never was. And I would say that 7 this would apply to any operations, including the ones using 9 7 P-1 or Λ -2 -- not that this memo says that, but you asked me 2 10 how did it work, or was the other different. And I would say \bigcirc 11 no. 12 Mr. Maxwell. So at no point would TSS or other hodies, 13 for instance, the CIA Medical Staff or the Counter Intelligence 14 Staff, be empowered to utilize ARTICHOKE techniques, or to 15 your knowledge, P-1 or A-2 techniques without the approval of \Box S 16 the Chief CIA Office in the geographical area, the senior CIA 17 officer? Dr. Gottlieb. I would say without coordination of that 18 O.C. 2000 officer. I can envision situations where Headquarters, meaning 19 20 the operational controllers of Headquarters, not TSS, might 21 stimulate such an operation. But he would have to be involved. Am I making my point clear? What I am trying to say is that 22 23 they were always under operational control. The CIA Mission Chief may not have been the principal figure in it, but he was 24 25 always aware of it, and if he didn't our he had lots of

TOPLECRET

文文				TOP SECRET
鑫				60
K				
3.7 2.4		3	7	
Í		202)	-	chances to say so. I don't ever remember one where the CIA
		3	2	senior field person was not onboard
	.		5	
		Ě	ى ئ	Mr. Maxwell: In the same memo it notes that overall
3			4	responsibility for the project would be transferred from
			_	responsibility for the project would be cransferred from
(*)			5	intelligence to security with full responsibility for research
	\$		6	
	}	,		being allocated to the technical staff. This applied only to
			7	Project ARTICHOKE?
			8	
				Dr. Gottlieb. The wording here is confusing to me.
	LIF	٠.	9	Technical staff
	3		3.0	
	6		10	Mr. Maxwell. That was simply in the paraphrasing by the
			11	Office of Medical Services where this file was maintained for
		* V L	, ,	
		4	12	the Technical Services.
(0 ₹	13	Dr. Gottlieb. I am not sure, because there was a technical
	C	3	3.4	
	\circ		14	group in security, too. And this could mean that. I find this
	0 0 0	•	15	to me now a little confusing. It seems to be saying that
	្រ			
	į		16	overall responsibility goes from intelligence to security, and
			17	possibly that TSS will do whatever research and testing is
	3			possibily that its will do white text i contain and test in its
	at o	_	18	needed. But it doesn't say that to me unequivocally here.
•	F.	0002	19	Mr. Lenzner. The words technical tasks are typed in in a
	į	.c		MI. Benzher. The words technical tasks are typed in in a
	*.	٥٠,	20	different type face than the rest of the memorandum.
		שניים ב	21	
	į	ž	21	Mr. Maxwell. That is right. They sanitized it.
	and the first of the second sections of the section sections of the section sections of the section sections of the section se	s. Ř	22	Mr. Lenzner. So it is a paraphrase of other language which
	3		0.7	
	2	10 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003	23	may or may not reflect other language. Do you know what the
		10 F.	24	other language was?
		4	<u> </u>	•
	·		25	Mr. Maxwell. It was the Technical Services.
4			!	



TOPSCRET 1	824		11473		THE TABLE TO SHALL SHE THE SHE
Dr. Gottlieb. You were asking meto interpret what I was reading here. 2 reading here. 3 Mr. Massell Satisfies on injecticular about the relationship between the research for ANTICHOKE and other research that was going on outside of Project ARTICHOKE in regard to either interrogations racys, control of individuals. 3 Dr. Gottlieb And four question was what? 4 Mr. Massell What was the relationship between the authority for ANTICHOKE research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? 5 Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am chacking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question did all the research relating to drugs in this period done in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to this project, is that it? 6 Mr. Maswell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not cade known to people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? 5 Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.	荔				TOP SERET
reading here In Maxwell Confidence of the Present of the Project ARTICHOKE and other research that was going on outside of Project ARTICHOKE in regard to either interrogations idenses. Dr. Gottlieb And your question was what? Mr. Maxwell What was the relationship between the authority for ARTICHOKE research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question this project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE conmittee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.					
reading here In Maxwell Confidence of the Present of the Project ARTICHOKE and other research that was going on outside of Project ARTICHOKE in regard to either interrogations idenses. Dr. Gottlieb And your question was what? Mr. Maxwell What was the relationship between the authority for ARTICHOKE research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question this project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE conmittee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.	1	7. T	8 3 3		
reading here In Maxwell Confidence of the Present of the Project ARTICHOKE and other research that was going on outside of Project ARTICHOKE in regard to either interrogations idenses. Dr. Gottlieb And your question was what? Mr. Maxwell What was the relationship between the authority for ARTICHOKE research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question this project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE conmittee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.	浔		3 78 1	1	The state of the s
Mr. Maxwell? SI was asking you in particular about the relationship between the research for ANTICHOKE and other research that was going on journal device of the regard to either interregations, drugs, control of individuals. Dr. Gottlieb: And our prestion was what? Mr. Maxwell. What was the relationship between the authority for ANTICHOKE research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question to do this project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ANTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ANTICHOKE, was not raide known to people who were involved in making decisions about ANTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.	X.		202)		pr. dottiles. x10d were asking me to interpret widt i was
relationship between the research for Antichoke and other research that was going for outside of Project Antichoke in regard to either interrogations drugs, control of individuals. Dr. Gottlieb And your question was what? Mr. Maxwell. What was the relationship between the authority for Antichoke research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I an checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question did all the research relating to drugs in this period done in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to this project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ANTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ANTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ANTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.	30	भ े	¥ .	2	reading here.
relationship between the research for Antichoke and other research that was going for outside of Project Antichoke in regard to either interrogations drugs, control of individuals. Dr. Gottlieb And your question was what? Mr. Maxwell. What was the relationship between the authority for Antichoke research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I an checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question did all the research relating to drugs in this period done in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to this project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ANTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ANTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ANTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.			7)	5	the state of the s
research that was going on outside of Project ARTICHOKE in regard to either interrogations drugs, control of individuals. Dr. Gottlieb And your question was what? Mr. Maxwell. What was the relationship between the authority for ARTICHORE research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question did all the research relating to drugs in this period done in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to this project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHORE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHORE, was not made known to people who condrised the ARTICHORE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHORE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.					
research that was going on outside of Project ARTICHOKE in regard to either interrogations drugs, control of individuals. Dr. Gottlieb And your question was what? Mr. Maxwell. What was the relationship between the authority for ARTICHORE research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question did all the research relating to drugs in this period done in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to this project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHORE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHORE, was not made known to people who condrised the ARTICHORE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHORE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.				4	relationship between the research for ARTICHOKE and other
regard to either interrogations, drugs, control of individuals. 7	¥.			5	
7 Dr. Gottlieble And your question was what? 8 Mr. Maxwell What was the relationship between the authority for ARTICHOKE research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? 10 Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question did all the research relating to drugs in this period done in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to this project, is that it? 17 Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not nade known to people who condrised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? 10 Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.					research that was going on jourside of Froject Arrichant in
Mr. Maxwell What was the relationship between the authority for ARTICHOKE research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question did all the research relating to drugs in this period done in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to this project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.				6	regard to either interrogations, Edrugs, control of individuals.
Mr. Maxwell What was the relationship between the authority for ARTICHOKE research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question did all the research relating to drugs in this period done in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to this project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.				7	
authority for ARTICHOKE research, which was delegated to TSS, and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question did all the research relating to drugs in this period done in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to the first project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.					Dr. Gottliebre And Your question was what?
and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question did all the research relating to drugs in this period done in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to this project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.				8	Mr. Maxwell. What was the relationship between the
and the other research that was being performed in similar areas, adjoining areas, related areas? Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question did all the research relating to drugs in this period done in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to this project, is that it? Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.		0			
this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question 14 did all the research relating to drugs in this period done 15 in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to 16 this project, is that it? 17 Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was 18 the research that was being done in related areas with drugs 19 in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under 20 the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who 21 comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who 22 were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? 23 Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting 44 for another phrase.	1			9	authority for ARTICHORE research, which was delegated to 155,
this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question 14 did all the research relating to drugs in this period done 15 in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to 16 this project, is that it? 17 Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was 18 the research that was being done in related areas with drugs 19 in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under 20 the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who 21 comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who 22 were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? 23 Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting 44 for another phrase.	1	つ		10	and the other research that was being performed in similar
this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question 14 did all the research relating to drugs in this period done 15 in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to 16 this project, is that it? 17 Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was 18 the research that was being done in related areas with drugs 19 in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under 20 the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who 21 comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who 22 were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? 23 Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting 44 for another phrase.	١	٠.		,,	
this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question 14 did all the research relating to drugs in this period done 15 in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to 16 this project, is that it? 17 Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was 18 the research that was being done in related areas with drugs 19 in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under 20 the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who 21 comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who 22 were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? 23 Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting 44 for another phrase.	1 -		ī	7 1	areas, adjoining areas, related areas?
this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question 14 did all the research relating to drugs in this period done 15 in TSS sort of have some relationship in a control sense to 16 this project, is that it? 17 Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was 18 the research that was being done in related areas with drugs 19 in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under 20 the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who 21 comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who 22 were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? 23 Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting 44 for another phrase.	5		₹	12	Dr. Gottlieb. I am hearing you say and I am checking
the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.			4 0 5	7 7	The total and the second size to wour mastica
the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.	(¥ }	10	this with you, because I want to be responsive to your question
the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.	1	~		14	did all the research relating to drugs in this period done
the research that was being done in related areas with drugs 18 the research that was being done in related areas with drugs 19 in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under 20 the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who 21 comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who 22 were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? 23 Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting 24 for another phrase.	1			15	. Not and of have some relationship in a control sense to
Mr. Maxwell. The question would be more specifically, was the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.					IN 155 Soit of have some relationship in a control being to
the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.	L	1		16	this project, is that it?
the research that was being done in related areas with drugs in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.	ı	`.		17	Mr. Maywell. The question would be more specifically. Was
in TSS that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.				- '	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.				18	the research that was being done in related areas with drugs
the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.	;		0000	10	in mee that was not related to ARTICHOKE, was not done under
the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not hade known to people who comprised the ARTICHOKE committee or the group of people who were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE? Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't hear the question. I was waiting for another phrase.			ن	. J	·
				20	the auspices of ARTICHOKE, was not made known to people who
		:	٠ <u>٠</u>	91	
		1 2	3	21	
		C 7 §	S.	22	were involved in making decisions about ARTICHOKE?
		5 3	t, • • t.	0.7	ny cattion. I didn't hear the quostion. I use waiting
		ě :	2 1711 S	ړی	pr. Gottileb. I didn't hear the Maderon. I was watern.
	4		0	24	for another phrase.
PIL. PIRAMETT. HIS CHOIC LESCATER DELLA SOLIS	1	}	₹	25	Mr. Marwell. Was there research being done
		3			The Markette and Chief Today Today

TOP SPERET

1				TOP SECRET
を				62
		99		
3		20 24	1	Mr. Lenzner OUtside of the ARTICHOKE complex?
1		3 5	2	
3	1	y) •uo	3	Dr. Gottlieb. I can only respond to that by reading of the
	1	Ě	J	several ARTICHOKE minutes that you have where I see Dr. Gibbons
			4	who was Head of TSS at the time, sometimes accompanied by me,
			5	reporting on that kind of work. So I would have to say that
3			6	
				at that period this seems to be a clearing house for even
**			7	distantly related work. Because I read someplace about some
		<u> </u>	8	chap whom I have a dim recollection, Dr. Veehover, it comes
	~		9	
	123			back that we do some research on psychogenic material and we
	6		10	reported to this group. I can only report from that that
			11	the definition of related work was pretty broad. And I can't
	<.	4	12	think of work that we were particularly walling off from this
		W A R D	13	group at this point.
	C		14	Mr. Maxwell. Then it would be your recollection that any
			15	related areas of inquiry supervised by TSS would be reported
	ហ		16	back to the ARTICHOKE Committee, given their interest in that
			17	general area?
		E	18	Dr. Gottlieb. Yes. And I get that from reading those
		C. 2000	19	minutes of the ARTICHORE meeting.
		410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003	2)	Mr. Lenzner. The first sentence in paragraph 2 says:
		Washing	2:	"It was agreed by those present that the overall responsibility
		î, S.E.,	22	of Project ARTICHOKE should be transferred from Security "
		rst Stree	23	Mr. Maxwell. It would be from the Office of Scientific
(410 F	24	Intelligence to I&SO, with full responsibility for research
			25	being allocated to the Technical Services Staff?
				

		TOP SECRET
	0009-	
	1 6 2	Mr. Lenzner. And it says specifically ARTICHOKE.
	(Area 2	Mr. Maxwell. Yes.
	1 3	I think it would probably be useful to go into that series
	4	of meetings on ARTICHOKE that comes later in time in which you
	5	are often a direct participant in which your activities were
	6	reported. There is a memorandum, a draft memorandum for the
	7	file dated 29 September 1952 on the subject of ARTICHOKE.
	8	Dr. Gottlieb. That doesn't have me present.
∯ ⇔	9	Mr. Maxwell. No. Representing TSS would be Dr. Gobbons
6 13:	(?) 1.3(a)() and [(?).
	C 11	Dr. Gottlieb. was in OSI, he was representing
47	12	OSI. I was Director of OSI, I believe, following Dr. Chadwell.
	13	Mr. Maxwell. Off the record.
C)	14	(Off the record discussion.)
O	15	Mr. Maxwell. Back on the record.
Lo) 16	The third paragraph of the memorandum indicates that Dr.
	17	Mackley was going to set up an office in New York and engage
	18	in basic research programs in support of ARTICHOKE. Given the
	19	fact that TSS had the basic research responsibility under
	100. D.C.	ARTICHOKE, and given the fact that this comes close in time to
	1.3(a)(4) C 22	the period when the MKULTRA program was authorized, do you
} } 		recall the relationship between T work and the
-	23	work being conducted in TSS?
	24	Dr. Gottlieb. I can only answer that in a kind of a
	25	rambling way in a time scale. And you will see what I mean.
	<u>.</u>	TOP SECRET
	*	The state of the s

The second second

TOP SPERET

I remember, to the extent that I remember anything about this, that this thing never came to anything, that never went up to the confidence of the did, it never lasted long. What I remember about the state of the finally settled in Florida, and he grew orchids and hed a botanical setup where

1.3(a)(4)⁸
C 9

doing this stuff with him.

And he

That was the relationship.

I think he faded out of this program. And later on we were

Had you run across that in the records?

Mr. Maxwell. Off the record.

(Off the record discussion.)

Dr. Gottlieg. I don't remember

and the

thing ever materializing.

May I ask whether your records show something else?

Mr. Maxwell. The record in regard to later ARTICHORE

research are very skimpy. Although there are some ARTICHORE

operations which continue on through 1954, they trail away

at that time. My understanding of what was going on in the

research areas in the Agency at that time was that ARTICHORE

research was declining rapidly and the MKULTRA research was,

expanding substantially.

Dr. Gottlieb. Of course I don't understand what the

TOP SUCRET

5

6

0 14 0 15 15 1.3(a)(4)

19

21 22 23

18

25

24

- -

research efforts were, even after reading this.

Mr. Maxwell. When you made the decision that you did
earlier, it made me wonder how you would draw those lines. And
one of our obvious concerns has been to try to understand
organizationally how the research was set up and controlled,
what communications existed, and what was the effect of the
compartmentation, and what kinds of experiments were done
outside of TSS, and where we have not good records, but a
little bit more firsthand information.

On the second page of that same memo it indicates that:

"OTS will refer all requests for the use of ARTICHOKE materials
by the operational or area offices to I&SO for coordination
and approval of such use. It is the basic policy that use of

ARTICHOKE materials by non-professional case officers will be

discouraged or prohibited."

In your experience with ARTICHOKE, although you already indicated that you were not involved in administration of ARTICHOKE materials, do you recall requests coming to TSS or coming to your office in TSS or TSS in general, for the use of ARTICHOKE materials, and the methods of responding to such requests?

Dr. Gottlieb. I don't remember any requests like that.

But my remembrance would be stimulated by these memos that we certainly would have furned them over -- we didn't consider we had an ARTICHOKE capability in the manner that I defined

TOP STERET

		建筑	
			TOP SECRET
2,875	000		
100	\$	1	it. We had no facility, and we had no MD that worked for us
	202	2	
	₹ (&		who was skilled or practiced in this kind of technique. So
	Ê	3	we surely would have referred it over lether to the Medical
		4	Staff, or in this case I am sure the Security Office.
		5	Mr. Maxwell. Do you recall any way in which the operational
		6	
			units might have learned about I&SO's capability, or the
		7	Medical Staff's capability, for such interrogation?
		8	Dr. Gottlieb. That is a good question, because it might
. <u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	9	be that in briefings that TSS at that time gave but! I-don't
~	ir I	10	remember that specifically, the only thing I can think of was
-	,	11	that we would have mentioned it in terms of technical capa-
T		12	bility available for operations.
	1 X A B C	13	Mr. Maxwell. Perhaps you would play that out a little bit.
C	<u> </u>	14	When an officer was beings tationed abroad, would he in fact
c		. 15	come through a reeducation process, a retraining process
		16	whereby he would be told by Medical Staff, TSS, or the like
		17	what capabilities there did exist?
		18	Dr. Gottlieb. I remember such a process, an effective
	0.0. 20003	19	process, coming in or being open in the last five or six
		20	years I was in the CIA. Before that was a much more informal
	7/25/10	21	kind of thing. It could have or couldn't have happened,
	it, S.E.,	22	depending upon how alert and thorough the desk was at which it
	First Street, S.E., Washington,	23	was being prepared in Headquarters. Certainly some people
	4 10 F.	24	came over to us to find out what can you fellows do for us
		25	overseas, how can you help us, how can you support our

TOP SE RET

operations. But the point I am making is that there was no thorough, comprehensive — I don't want to use the word fool-proof, but effective way of doing this so that this almost always happened.

(Witness confers with counsel.)

and the second second

Dr. Gottlieb. You questions was, would most case officers going overseas come over in some organized trade through TSS and in that way get exposed to the capabilities we had, or interest we had discussed with them, and in that way ARTICHOKE perhaps was getting around? And my answer was, that process of case officers being prepared for overseas work and routinely and pretty surely coming through TSS didn't become an effective system until much later. At this period the word got around in various ways. A little later, not now, I would go on fairly regular trips overseas, partly for the purpose, when I had supervisory responsibilities in TSD, of just checking on how the support of our overseas units was going, and partly to brief stations on capabilities. -Where appropriate, those things would come up. Sometimes I held a little seminar with all of the case officers in a station somewhere. And they would ask me, what can you fellows do in this area.

Mr. Maxwell. In regard to general training within the Agency for those people who would become case officers who would move into DDP, not so much a reeducation process for those people who had been overseas, but for those people who

TOP SERET

ı

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Washington, D.C. 20003

S.E.

were going overseas for the first time, was TSS involved in briefing or training people about capability such as this?

Dr. Gottlieb. Well, TSS from as far back as I can remember -- and remember, for a long time I was not in operations in TSS, I was just in research and development, so I would not have gotten involved in those briefings and what I called the operations course. And so my firsthand knowledge of that starts only when I became something like, I guess Deputy Chief in 1962. And that is the first time that I had direct responsibility for operational support: And my answer would have to be, relatively little of this area would have been brought up

Now, I want to make a reservation there. It could be that we had some kind of seminar in which research interests were represented and they were briefed on a research program and questions could have come up. But I don't think they were specifically and thoroughly briefed in this area in any training course during this period.

with those, not senior case officers, but case officers in

Mr. Maxwell. In regard to the activities that were engaged in by TSD, in providing information to the operational units, in the meetings that took place in part, I think, at first under your supervision, and later on with an interchange of DTP people and TSD people --

Dr. Gottlieb. TSD was in the DDP. You are talking about

TOP SERET

training.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

called the Beechtree meeting

Mr. Maxwell. &Right:

Dr. Gottlieb Yes I organized those sometimes when I was

Head of Research there in 1961 and 1962

Now, what is your question?

Mr. Maxwell. The expectation was that the individuals who came through the Beechtree from the field side would pass that

onto other people in the station?

Dr. Gottlieb. For a lont time -- and I think all the time and the second state of the second -- the Beechtree seminars were a way of TSD checking as to the relevance of its research and development program. And there was an attempt made to have the people who came to Beechtree -- they were at TSD request appointed by the DDP himself. And there was a reason for that. We wanted them to be responsible senior divisional people who could speak for their area in a general way. And as I remember it, except as people naturally got rotated, there were never people there because they were going overseas.

Mr. Maxwell. But the expectation would be that those senior people would be able to pass on their knowledge of the capabilities of TSD to people more junior than themselves?

Dr. Gottlieb. I think we are getting off on a tangent here. And I will tell you why. Your interest is in how the information about those capabilities was passed on. The purpose of the Beechtree seminars had nothing to do with that. They were

TOP S

Washington, D.C.

S

18 19

16

17

20

21

22

25

Carlana Maria

法		[20]	TOP SECRET
	8		
	. 9	,	primarily to pass a proposed research program both in a
	202) \$	1	
	, 3	2	substantive sense and in a budgetary sense, scope, the various
	Phone (Ar		things we were doing, before the eyes of an assembled group
	i õ	3	A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF
		4	of senior operational officials in the DDP so that we could
	: :	5	get a representative reaction that was very hard to get, given
		3	
		6	the various needs of the varying divisions. And it had nothing
			of our capabilities operationally
٠.		7	The state of the s
		8	Is that clear?
			Mr. Maxwell. I would have thought that there would have
, L	77.: 	9	and the state of t
1 <	i I	10	been at least one sub-benefit which we envisioned
		11	Dr. Gottlieb. Of course it is a sub-benefit. But it is
_	,		far from the focus. The focus was, this is what we propose to
		12	
	9	13	do next year with so much money. How responsive is it to your
C	⊋		needs, a research program? It only had to do with a research
C	>	14	program program. I think you might be equating the research
() .	15	
L	ri	16	program with the P-1, A-2 area. But of course that was only
1.	<u> </u>	17	a small part of it.
4		1,	I might add that we found that an extremely useful
		18	i might add that he is
	20003	19	instrument.
	ington, D.C. 20003	20	Mr. Maxwell. In that same memo on page 3 there is an
			indication that the ARTICHOKE techniques were being used by a
	§ 1.3(a		medical officer stationed in I take it that those
		22	•1
	Street,	23	were the similar ARTICHOKE techniques as have been referred to
	10 First	2:	before which would probably be sodium pentathol and desoxyn
	₹ 7		pentathol. Desoxyn operated as essentially a stimulant?
		25	
			TOP SEERET

242624564	HV-20/0247	n 4430	をというとは、ないないとは、ないないというというとは、ないないないは、ないないないでは、ないないないない。 ないないないないないないできません。
			TOP SECRET
	<i>361/4636</i> 8	****	
	3		
	2023 \$	1	Dr. Gottlieb Tt is a benzedrine derivitive. I know when
	mone (Area 202) 54.	2	people get too much barbituate this things plays the other way.
Í	• • • • •	3	and visa versa.
	Δ	4	
			Mr. Maxwell. Did that technique involved any risk for a
		5	patient or a subject in normal health?
		6	Dr. Gottlieb. I would have to defer that to a doctor.
1	.3(a)(4)	7	Mr. Lenzner. There is a memo of a meeting in
	C	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		8	of June 4, 1952 of an interrogation where both those substance:
9.		9	were used, in fact at one time simultaneously. Which conclude:
7		10	by saying that the case proved that the detailed interrogation
6		11	can be carried out in a harmless fashion with a compound use
2	70 4	12	of sodium pentathol and desoxyn.
C	D € ∢ }	13	Dr. Gottleib. Without any recollection on the part of the
0		14	patient?
C	•	15	Mr. Maxwell. It was my understanding that that was the
Lī:		16	principal ARTICHOKE technique in combination with hypnosis.
		17	Dr. Gottlieb. Well, in answer to your question, my
	_	18	understanding would be just what you said. But it is derived
:	. 20003	19	mostly from reading this material. I don't know that the
	1.ઙ૾ૢૺ(a)(4) 20	medical officer stationed in was using anything else.
	S.E., Washing	21	And I think that was your question.
	t, S.E)	22	Mr. Maxwell. It was a question in regard to that memorand
	N Street	23	it notes that there would be budgeting for two more ARTICHOKE.
	410 f ii	24	teams. And I was wondering if you know whether in fact there
		25	has been now ARTICHOKE teams added to the ones that apparently
			TOP SECRET

were overseas at the time?

Dr. Gottlieb. For whatever it is worth, my memory is that 1.3(a)(4) they talked for years and years about stationing two teams in a permanent sense in and , and in fact that was never done.

> Mr. Maxwell. There is a transmittal slip dated 4 November noting that the inclosed might be of 1950 to interest to Morse Allen, and noting htat the inclosed was gotten from Gottlieb at OTS. It is my understanding that the inclosure was the following packet -- it is not the full , dated September 4, 1952, on memorandum -- from | the subject of information from Europe related to the egodepressants. Do you recall this memorandum from 0.44

Dr. Gottlieb. I don't recall it. I have read it. I have glanced through it. And I certainly wrote the covering memo. I am sure if I got something like this, I can see in these SECTION OF THE PARTY. days I would send it to the Security people.

Mr. Maxwell. The memorandum is interesting for a number of reasons, one of which is the question it raises about the vulnerability of individuals or installations to chemical warfare, or biological warfare, and its use of LSD in that. There is also a paragraph -- before I go on, when information such as this came to your attention, and to the attention of TSS, was this information also shared with individuals at SOD, and in the military in general?

ารู้ การ ได้เกิดให้เกิดเรื่องเกาก

1.3(a)(4)

9

10

11 3.3(a)(4)

13 14 15

> 16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

25

A SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T

24

something like this going through normal intelligence channels to an Army unit. We might have discussed it informally with SOB. I guess if we found something like this and we thought it related to a SOB interest we might take it up and circumven the rather laborious channels.

Mr. Maxwell. Now was the process of vulnerability studied how were they generated? Because it would seem to me that onc this was raised as a possible interest, as I am sure it had been raised before in other instances --

Dr. Gottlieb. Can I stop just a minute, because we might be able to do something that would be helpful here.

(Witness confers with counsel.)

Dr. Gottlieb. I sort of broke you off in mid-question.

What I suggest I do is, I have been looking for an opening to make a comment -- I don't mean an opening where I can say anything I want, but a opening that would tie into a subject which we are talking about. And since you brought up vulnerability studies, ever since I watched one of the public sessions on TV, specifically the one where Colby testified on the toxins, and where Helms and Karamessines did, I have a thing about what I consider to be very misleading things that went on there. And I would like to comment on them. Now, they have to do with vulnerability studies, is why they come u here, and they have to do with vulnerability studies done by

TOP SPERET

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Washington, D.C.

Street, S.E.

1

2

3

4

I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 \bigcirc

7.7 C

TOP SECRET

SOD. Would you agree that this is as good a place to put tha

Mr. Maxwell. As good a place as any.

particularly interested in these vulnerability studies that were received by TSD from SOD and included in a file labeled MKNAOMI. Are you following me?

Mr. Maxwell. Yes.

in as any?

Dr. Gottldeb. And there was quite a point made at the hearings, and I can't remember whether this was an explicit point, but it surely was a strongly inferred point, that the CIA had something, and the inference was something sinister, to do with these vulnerability studies. And the comment I want to make is that in fact CIA had nothing to do with eithe of the vulnerability studies I mentioned, CIA was simply a recipient, as I am sure many other Government groups were, of a report of SOD work done, not in response to any CIA require ment that I know of that they were working against, but done in their own research program, and quite properly, I think, t study the vulnerability of this country to covert BW attacks. And I would like to straighten that out, because I think a grave disservice was done in many ways, but mostly it was jus a distortion of what really happened, that although CIA was t recipient of a report describing those studies, CIA had nothi to do with generating the requirement, nor did it have anythi

TOP SECRET

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

.9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O.

In

C--

٠;-

C

 \Box

 \circ

[]

TOP SECRET

anything to do with any proposed operation CIA thought of or ever got involved in. And I am afraid the opposite impression was made. That is one point.

The second point was that there was also an inference that

The state of the s somehow this was harmful, or potentially harmful from the stand point of contamination to either the building where the water <u>ۻٷڔڟؠڲۣٙٳڐڂڂڝڮٷ</u> system was tested or the subway. And I don't think that is an accurate deduction to be drawn; because in the case of the building, as I remember reading the report, it was just a dye that was put into the water to see how it diffused. And in the case of the subway system, as I remember it, it was a perfectly normal organism that is around everywhere, and it doesn't harm anybody. Or maybe it was even a tracer. But I don't know if I made that point clearly. I know there was an impression made on people's mind that, one, CIA had something sinister to do with these studies. And two, that the studies were somehowharmful to the structures or systems that were involved in them.

Mr. Maxwell. For the sake of just continuing along this point for a moment, you said that CIA had nothing to do with generating requirements for those studies. Would it be fair to say that CIA had nothing to do with the ideas -- with the possible proposal of such studies by SOD rather than limiting it to the requirement?



Dr. Gottlieb. If you consider that a more general statemen I would agree with it. My remembrance of those activities in that period was that we had nothing to do with it except to be the recipient in case we were interested in a report of their work.

Is it your recollection that no one knowledgeable on the part of CIA as to MKNAOMI discussed with personnel from SDO possible vulnarability studies, or the possible applicability of vulnerability studies to things the THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH Agency was concerned about; or that might be useful for the 4、行為國際的語句是由4年 Agency? I want to make sure that the point is made that while CIA may not have generated the requirement in the formal sense of the way the requirement would be generated within the Service it is indeed your point that CIA was involved in the kind of discussions that would precede such proposals on the part of SOD. For instance, if in fact you received a report like this, or another report that said it would be possible to contaminate an entire building, or a battleship, or an installation of some sort by adding a chemical to the water system, that it would appear it would be natural to bring up that kind of discussion to SDO because of its interest in both offensive and defensive chemical and biological warfare. But in that circumstance it would appear that the CIA information and concern,

TOP SECRET

SOD framing the vulnerability study without any sinister

while not part of a requirement process, also maybe part of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

13

2C

21

22

23

24

25

and particular strains of the

connotation whatsoever?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C1:

វោៈ

C

C)

LD

Dr. Gottlieb I think I understand what you mean. And m best response to it is that I wouldn't put it the way you hav 的情况的,被特别。 I would put it simply that SOD had as an important part of it 15.1000 (10.00m) (10.00m) (10.00m) (10.00m) (10.00m) (10.00m) (10.00m) (10.00m) mission to worry about the vulnerability of the USA to covert BW attack: "I consider that one of their main reasons for bei Those vulnerability studies were taken entirely as far as I am concerned in the context of that non-CIA related requireme Now, the study having been planned and approved and finally ार्ग वा अवस्थित स्वयं स्वयं के किन्द्र के नि executed; I have no problem in seeing someone in SOD saying, you fellows might be interested in this. That is my point.

Mr. Maxwell: My point was that it is neither sinister necessarily or unlikely that part of the formative process of vulnerability studies was consultation between CIA and SOD.

Dr. Gottlieb. My answer is that that could have happened I don't know that it did in this case. It certainly was in my mind not the major precipitating cause for this thing happening. That is my point.

Mr. Maxwell. The other point that I think should be made and should be reflected in the record, is that one of the concerns on the part of the Committee was the fact that SOD apparently conducted vulnerability studies without the approv or knowledge of those either public or private officials responsible for either the civil defense, the FDA administrat or the people who administer the subway system in New York.

TOP SPERET

3

4

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

23

24

25

TOP SECRET

And thirdly, the point I think should be made is that the have been vulnerability studies using what has been perceived as harmless agents which apparently have resulted in incapaci tation and/or death. Given that, the point that an agent is regarded as safe and normal has to be seen in the context of o outside the second of the second what we later have discovered about the results. The Company of the Co

I am getting off the main point I want to Dr. Gottlieb. make. I think the CIA was drawn into this thing by associati and not by direct participation or anything like that. And 11:5 t , 1 1 1 that is what bothered me.

The memorandum from also includ Mr. .Maxwell. a paragraph which I would like to read, page 9. The numberin is up at the righthand top. I haven't reprinted all the page of that. It indicates: "The second reason to doubt came out of the question I raised as to whether any accidents had arisen from the use of P.D.25. He said in a ve positive way, none. As it turned out, this answer could be called overly positive, for later on in the evening I was discussing the matter with , where I had gone at insistence. , when asked this same question, replied, yes, and added spontaneously, there is a case a woman and physician who had been knows about. subject to depression to some extent took LP 25 in an experiment and became severely and steadily depressed and committee

TOP SE

1.3(a)(4)₁₀ 1.3(a)(4)₁₆ 1.3(a)(4)(5) 1.3(a)(4)(5)

い.

					TOP SPERET
	7,70	<i>(</i> ₹-5)*			
		9		•	
		202) \$		1	suicide three weeks later. While the connection is not
		3		2	definite, knowledge of this could hardly have allowed the
		hone		3	positive lanswer permitted himself. This case is a
		1.5	(a)(4	ŋ	
			_	1	sharp warning to us to avoid this agent in subjects who are
				5	depressed, or who have been subject to depression."
				6	I was wondering whether you recall reading that?
				7	Dr. Gottlieb. I didn't remember this report at all. I had
				0	
				8	no recollection of either the report or that particular
	4			9	section in it.
	10 10			10	Mr. Maxwell. Do you recall learning about similar events
				11	prior to 1953, or similar experiences?
	_6_1000	* ******		12	Dr. Gottlieb. You mean suicides that followed? No, I
(W A M O		13	don't recollect that.
	<u>)</u> _0			14	(Witness confers with counsel.)
	0.			15	Mr. Maxwell. Did you want to add anything to your answer?
	in			16	Dr. Gottlieb. No.
				17	Mr. Maxwell. Do you recall the research that had been done
	1			18	up to that time by TSS or any other agency in CIA or by other
		2. 2000		19	Governmental agencies or academitions that were known to you,
		10n. D.(20	about the effects of LSD?
		Washington, D.C. 20003		21	Dr. Gottlieb. I certainly don't recall that now. And if
		1, 5.5., 1		22	we are going to go into that, I would want to have my memory
	:	1 Street		23	refreshed.
		410 Firs		24	Mr. Maxwell. Do you recall the kind of research that was
				25	going on at that time in regard to LSD?
24			•		TOPECRET

	TOP SEERET
	Dr. Gottlieb. I only remember that there was very littl
	of it, that as far as I was concerned there was a lot of
2 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	material coming across my desk in lots of other fields. And
5	material coming across my desk in
 g.:	I just couldn't discuss that comprehensively now, I mean in
	any detail.
5	Mr. Ralston. Do you recall ever seeing or reading repor
Solary of	Mr. Ralston. Do You recall
8.3(a)(4)(5)	from the
8	Dr. Gottlieb. Do Is recall reading that now? No. I
	am sure that I read reports on LSD. That is not my response
L 9	
in 10	But I don't remember them now.
ο· 11	Mr. Lenzner. Did you ask him whether he had seen a
	
12	special report? Mr. Ralston. Any report, not special, from
13	Mr. Ralston. Any report, not special, from
7.3/01/1/24	
	Mr. Lenzner. This report, by the way, that Elliot had
D: 15	asked about is dated 1952, the report by
LO 16	asked about is dated 1932, she winds of
1.3(a)(4)	Mr. Maxwell. Do you recall independently the kinds of
18	research that TSS had begun to commission in this area at t
19 8 19	time?
;; ;; 20	Dr. Gottlieb. Not really. I have trouble specifically
vojkuri 2	recalling who was doing research that early for us.
	Mr. Maxwell. And there is a memorandum dated 26 Novem
2 2	1052 on the subject of Project ARTICHOKE from Colonel Edwa
10 Flint Street, S.E., Walthington, D.G. 20003	and in paragraph 2(b) it indicates that the Chief of OTS:
	indicated that OTS would restrict itself to laboratory ty:
	TOP COLT

3				TOP SECRET POR SECRET
	31/15	8	1889. 1889.	
		1 2	1	research and experimentation. Does that help you at all in
		2	-	trying to recall the kinds of experimentation that was done?
		\$ · 3		Dr. Gottlieb. Not really, no.
		4		Mr. Maxwell. Later it goes on to say that OTS will conduct
				within the limitations of their facilities specific research
		5	1	within the limitations of their lateral and operational
		6	-	other than medical requested by ISSO in support of operational
		7		aspects of Project ARTICHOKE Do You recall what that might
	-	8		be?
	19	9		Dr. Gottlieb. No.
	1			Mr. Lenzner. I wonder if at some point how long was
	0,	1.3(a)	. 11	
	5-6-1-12- 00-0-	ر 1:	1	this report in total?
		1:	2	Mr. Maxwell. Ten pages or so, maybe a little bit more.
		1	3	Dr. Gottlieb. We thought you said it wasn't complete.
	0-(,	4	Mr. Maxwell. I didn't reproduce it completely, because
	٠ <u>٠</u>	٠ ،	5	I wanted to try to restrict the amount of material.
	n:	•		Mr. Lenzner. Can we look at the full report?
	7		.6	
		1	.7	Mr. Maxwell. Certainly. Mr. Lenzner. Not now, but just at our leasure.
			ra	
		**	19	Mr. Maxwell. Surely. When we go back to the office
		j. 0.0	20	tonight, if you would like to do that, I can find it.
	:	Washington, D.C.	21	There is a memorandum dated 4 December 1952 for Morse
	:	•	22	Allen on the ARTICHOKE conference of 4 December. On page 3
			23	it indicates that gave a brief summary of the trip
	;	1.3(a)(4)] <u> </u>	in June by an ARTICHOKE team which I have some
		, i ~	24	
			25	information about.
				TOP SECRET

变					TOP SECRET 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
7					
			00 To 10 To		
77.00	**		3	1	Dr. Gottlieb. In June of 1952?
) : .	ξ	2	Mr. Maxwell. F. Yes. And gave a moreorless detailed report
		\$** •	•	3	about the trip in September 1952 by the Navy team.
		•	₹3(a C)(4)	There is very little information available about the later
				*	There is very little information
				5	trip. And I was wondering if you recall, A, what the Navy
			. :	6	was doing at that time, what they later refer to, the Navy
				7	research which was being conducted. And apparently the Navy
			 · · · · · ·	8	was the only Service branch that was engaged in research. And
	3 t	خذ		9	secondly, whether that trip differed in any significant way
	. !	ก		10	from the other ARTICHOKE trips?
	. ç	<u>.</u>		11	Dr. Gottlieb. The only way I can help you is just to
	1	\ -	7 > 0 L	12	confirm what you have said, that I have a vague recollection
•			4 084	13	of the Navy doing some work in this specific field of
*	(λ (v) () n n	\$ /	14	narcohypnosis in respect to interrogation. But I have no more
	ć	5		. 15	details of that.
		اک		16.	Mr. Ralston. Dr. Gottlieb, do you recall if there was
	1	<u>/</u> :-		17	Navy intelligence involved in this work?
		;		18	Dr. Gottlieb. I really don't. My recollection, now that
			20003	19	we are talking about so now that it is mentioned here in
			S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003	20	paragraph 12 that it was a university contract that the Navy
			וופטותצבו	21	was working through. And that was my recollection just then.
				22	Mr. Maxwell. Do you recall what the research was about,
		::	10 First Street.	23	
		,	110 FW	24	la control de
		. 4	•	25	use of barbituates, or closely related to ARTICHOKE.
		- Hiracus			TOP SECRET

	1			
意				TOP SECRET 83
		8		
基			- 0.2 ° No. 1 0	with Maxwell: Do you recall if it involved human subjects?
The state of the s		2023	2	Dr. Gottlieb. You mean the trip?
	ist.	₹ 09	3	Mr. Maxwell. No, the research that was being conducted by
	•	£ .	4	the Navy?
			5	Dr. Gottlieb. Yes. My recollection is that it didn't.
		· .	6	Mr Maxwell There is a memorandum to the Chief of
			7	Security Research Staff dated 13 February 1953 on the subject
	·		8	of an ARTICHOKE conference of 22 January 1953. It indicates
	\tau_:	\(\frac{1}{2}\)	9	in paragraph (f) that discussed
	ָ נה	1.3(a)(4)(5)	I was wondering if you
	6	ν (11	recall that case, and whether in general you could speak to th
		* > C	12	question of how this form of research operation, as well as th
	•	A O A	13	form of research that you were conducting and later other
	C O	Ì	14	operating was done in conjunction with
	0		<u></u>	
	l r	1.3(a)((4)(5).	Dr. Gottlieb.
	2	. '')	17	ever.
	· sealing of the		18	Mr. Maxwell. If you would like to wait until you have
	A parametri.	C. 20003	19	been able to go over material on
	3 4 5 4 5	,o, 0.C.	20	
	Hoetskin	1.3 a	1(4)(3)	Dr. Gottlieb.
	Jeff Bar Jug	S.E. W	() ()22	But I need to
	Mediatric.	Street.	23	read some more about it.
	1	10 Flest	24	Mr. Maxwell.
		: 1.3	(a) (4)(5	
	7		-	TOP SCRET
	i v	الراملة والايلوج	ing the second	

3(a)(4)(5) Can I ask whether this is an area that the Agency has agreed to provide the Committee with information 4 on? I take it this is a slightly different area than what 5 we have beentalking about in the sense that you are now asking 7 a specific question of 1.3(a)(4)(5) Is that the substance of the question? Mr. Maxwell. The substance of the question is how information 9 一种的智慧的特殊的 tion would be made available or whether information would be 10 11 made available I was preparing to comment very generally, 12 Dr. Gottlieb. 13 not specifically. I have no problem with that. That is fine. 14 Mr. Lenzner. 15 Mr. Maxwell. S 16 17 3(a)(4)(5) Mr. Lenzner. 19 20 Dr. Gottlieb. This point you bring up would be handled, 21 as I remember, differently now that I have left the Agency 22 than when I started in. 1.3(a)(4)(5²) And if it was a 24 problem in the technical area, be it those drugs or audio, or 25

TOP SECRET anything else, they would bring it up with 2 3 (3(a)(4) 6: 7 the help would 9 then go out. And that would be true whether it was drugs or 10 audio or non-technical things or what have you. 11 What I am trying to say is, it would come in as a request 12 13 appropriateness in terms of helping or complying would be 4)(> decided by basically the DDP, or whoever he designated. 15 Mr. Maxwell. Because of the sensitivity of this kind of \bigcirc 16 LO technique and this kine of operation, would there be a 17 differential of --18 Dr. Gottlieb. I would think the DDP himself would probably Jö want to do it. 20 Mr. Maxwell. Would it be likely that the Agency would 21 3(2)(4)(5)2₂ f capabilities in regard to materials such as 23 this? 24 Dr. Gottlieb. I am thinking about it. Of course, it is 25

Is that responsive to your question? That same memorandum the recor should show that there is no indication that Dr. Gottlieb was in attendance at the meeting on 22 January. It indicates on page 13, in paragraph 13, that the ARTICHOKE organization had been studying the use of of certain facilities in the US as testing grounds for new ideas, experiments, et cetera, particularly using criminals and the criminally insane. Do you recall ARTICHOKE operations either in prisons, mental hospitals or other facilities that might hold either crominals or the criminally insane? Dr. Gottlieb. I don't remember anything like that. (Witness confers with counsel.) Dr. Gottlieb. We are ready to proceed. Mr. Maxwell. There is a memorandum of another ARTICHOKE conference of 19 February 1953 again by Morse Allen. You are listed as attenging representing OTS at this conference.

TOP

TOPSECRET Mr. Lenzner . What is the date? Mr. Maxwell. The date of the conference is 19 February 2 1953. The date of the memorandum is 5 March 1953. 3 Dr. Gottlieb. I only see an agenda -- I see it is followe 4 by 5 March 5 ः _{भारत}्रस्ति हेर् Mr. Maxwell In paragraph 2(a) it indicates that 6 had brought back material I think from either Mexico 3.3(a)(4) 7 and it was being prepared to be handed over to 的复数有效的 经合作的 计多级的 you for analysis. Do you recall his return and the material 9 CV. that was brought to you for analysis? 10 1. 网络西班牙斯特拉斯 (1 As I said, I remember his gathering Dr. Gottlieb. 11 。10世紀8年後日本日本日本日本 And I remember them being in general in the materials. 12 psychogenic botanical area. 13 Mr. Maxwell. And that would be material such as --14 Dr. Gottlieb. Such as psillocybin or mescaline -- I don' 15 \bigcirc remember marijuana coming up -- but that kind of material. Li. 16 But I don't remember what he brought back this time or what 17 we did with it. 18 In paragraph 2(f) it notes that: Mr. Maxwell. 19 then discussed conversations with you relative to OTS 1 3(a)(4)20 activities in the field." And later on in the paper it indi-21 cates that you spoke about the activities of OTS, stating tha 22 OTS from time to time reported on psycho-chemicals and 23 announced that in his opinion several of the materials that t

TOPSECRET

were now using were safe enought for field testing. ODS will

24

25

(1) Table 1 (1) 1 (1)

B.

keep in touch with ARTICHOKE

建2000年,1980年度1980年

o O

410 First Street, S.E.,

It goes on indicating that you would like to continue to attend the ARTICHOKE conferences.

Do you remember the psychochemicals that were being tested at that time by OTS; and the ones that were being prepared for field testing?

Dr. Gottlieb. I don't remember specifically. I would speculate that they probably refer to LSD and meretran, that would be my suspicion.

Mr. Maxwell. At that point testing, according to you, was going on at various universities and hospitals rather than pinpointing the exact ones. The CIA has indicated that the list of five places where chemicals were being tested for ARTICHOKE in hospitals and universities. It is my understanding at that point that you were still as of 19 February 1953 in the Research and Development part of TSS?

Mr. Maxwell. In trying to recall the kinds of experiments that were going on conducted by TSS or contracted out by TSS I would like to focus on experiments that were being conducted in either hospitals, or if they were contracting out to hospitals for the criminally insane, mental hospitals or prisons, to try to recall what research was going on in facilities such as that, and then more particularly the kinds of experiments and the kinds of controls that were used in

TOP ECRET

Dr. Gottlieb. That is correct.

experimenters themselves or by

the experiments either by the experimenters themselves or by CIA in regard to research design, visitation inspection, reports, et cetera?

Dr. Gottlieb. I think you are asking for a lot of detail that I can't help you with much now, in terms of just how they were conducted and who we were talking to, and what part we had in the actual design of the experiments. I am almost positive by my remembrance that those were all contract work, in the sense that they were not work that we ourselves were doing.

Mr. Maxwell. They would, however, be in the research design if in fact there was a contract, it would be let with the approval of TSS?

Dr. Gottlieb. I imagine there would be some consultation with whoever was monitoring the project, sure. My problem is that I can't remember now either exactly where they were, who monitored them, what experiments were done, or what the design was. I can only say that the experiments involved both the pharmacology of LSD and similar materials, and probably some clinical testing on humans.

Mr. Maxwell. By clinical testing you mean what?

Dr. Gottlieb. I mean the administering them to human beings to see what their clinical effect was. And my remembrance of this period was that a lot of people began to be interested in the therapeutic possibility of LSD.

TOP SCRET

3				TOP SZCRET 30
20.0		3		Mr. Maxwell. Was it standard procedure at CIA at the time
現金が		L 202)	2	if a contract was let to a private researcher or other
		one (Az	3	Government agency to provide for inspection by CIA officers?
9 E & S		É	4	Dr. Gottlieb. I think the system as to project monitors
			5	was that the fellow responsible for it would wisit the facility
			. 6	once in awhile to try to discuss with the principal investigator
1 A	2.4	•	7	what results were coming out of it, and try to make sure that
			8	the things we had contracted for were in fact happening.
	10		9	Mr. Maxwell. Would the project monitors all be from the
2007	9-1		10	research part, especially the research part of TSD?
100	. 6		11	Dr. Gottlieb: I would think so:
	4-1	P A U L	12	Mr. Maxwell. They wouldn't be from the biology branch,
	-0-0-0-4-1	A 90 A	13	chemistry branch or would they be project monitors as well?
	0-0	3	14	Dr. Gottlieb. We are getting into a semantics problem
	30		15	here. The things you mentioned are the same to me, they would
	មា		16	be monitored by TSS personnel from the Chemical Branch. Those
	2		17	were the people I am calling project monitors.
			18	Mr. Maxwell. Were you at that time in the Chemistry Branch?
		. 20003	19	Dr. Gottlieb. My memory is that I was Chief of the
		0, O.O.	20	Chemistry either branch or division, I forget what it was
		Washington, D.C. 20003	21	called
	:	S.E.	22	Mr. Maxwell. Would it be likely that that would mean that
		st Street,	23	i i 📲 T
		410 FIN	24	
			25	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		TOP SECRET

were in that branch or division.

Mr. Maxwell. Was there any criterion for assigning a particular project to a particular individual within the division?

Dr. Gottlieb. The criteria would be his background, his project load, his interest.

Mr. Maxwell. Would the security of the project or the sensitivity of the project be a criterion?

Dr. Gottlieb. I don't think so. You mean would I tend to the most sensitive ones and someone else the less sensitive ones, that sort of thing? I don't remember that kind of consideration.

Mr. Maxwell. Within the branch itself was there fairly easy conversation about the specifics of the particular --

Dr. Gottlieb: I-think so, it is a small branch, as I remember it, not much compartmentation between the branch.

Mr. Maxwell. So you would generally know what was doing?

Dr. Gottlieb. As Head of the Branch I certainly would.

Mr. Maxwell. And he would have knowledge of what you were doing?

Dr. Gottlieb. I think so.

Mr. Ralston. Do you remember on the contracting out wheth this was a covert contracting with a cutout for CIA?

Dr. Gottlieb. I think so. I think they were all handled

Constitution and the entire

TOP SECRET

治理学をおからは経済対応をある。

Mr. Maxwell. Would the cutouts involve the ULTRA mechanism in this case?

Dr. Gottlieb. I really don't remember in a time sense when the ULTRA mechanism came in, whether it came in at this particular time or a little later. Maybe the papers you have indicate that better than I remember it. I just don't remember date that that all started.

Mr. Maxwell. I will have to admit that the paper on MKULTRA are not voluminous.

Do you recall in a general sense in regard to human experimentation at that time whether there was a policy on it part of TSS in regard to the forms of consent obtained or the notification to those people who are involved in projects that have been contracted out, experiments that have been contracted out?

Dr. Gottlieb. To the best of my remembrance, I think w counted on the investigator and the system they were using the institution or installation to handle that. We didn't have any. We felt that was their responsibility.

Mr. Maxwell. Would that normally be involved in a residesign that was brought to your attention or to the attent of TSS?

Dr. Gottlieb. I don't think so. My best recollection that is that we assumed that these places we were dealing



had a system for coping with that problem, how they got consend and how they got volunteers or how they handled that. I don't think we second guessed them on it.

Mr. Maxwell. With regard to a point that was raised earli in regard to Mr. Bannerman's comment in the January ARTICHOKE meeting, in regard to facilities for criminals and the criminally insane being used as testing grounds, can you recall what forms of consent might have been expected in situations involving criminals or criminally insane for experimentation with psychochemicals?

Dr. Gottlieb. When you asked me the question before about ARTICHOKE and criminals and the criminally insane, I was defining it narrowly to what we had talked about was the ARTICHOKE activities. I hadn't realized you might mean psychochemicals.

Mr. Lenzner. He asked the question specifically with regard to ARTICHOKE.

Mr. Maxwell. That is correct. I asked in regard to the ARTICHOKE conference of February whether in fact there had be use of either patients in hospitals for the criminally insane and prisoners in prisons, either hte criminally insane or crimonals. Dr. Gottlieb didn't remember. He went on -- this is no attempt to trick you -- if in fact research was done either with the criminally insane or criminals using psychochemicals, or hospital patients using psychochemicals, not in the content of the content of the criminal of the

TOP SECRET

the ARTICHOKE program, do you recall any questions raised or do you recall any requirements that were levied by TSS in regard to consent on the part of those individuals for the testing?

Dr. Gottlieb. My answer is no, I do not recall that.

Mr. Maxwell. Do you recall any discussions in TSS or any THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH agency in regard to questions of consent and propriety of either particular forms of consent or the issue of consent at all in regard to these subject populations?

(Witness confers with counsel.)

ે વા<mark>ર્</mark>યા કરાકે છે. Dr. Gottlieb. The point we are talking about here was Company of the Age that the institutions where this kind of work was going on had a system for doing this.

(Off the record discussion.)

Mr. Maxwell. To make a last comment clearer, the question you are referring to is between you and counsel at that point.

Dr. Gottlieb. Right. I am a little lost myself now as to where we are. Can we go off the record for a moment? (Off the record discussion.)

Mr. Maxwell. Back on the record.

Do you have any specific or general recollection of members of your staff or yourself inquiring of the institution for which you were working, and in particular institutions such as hospitals, institutions which housed the criminally insane, and non-criminally insane, penal institutions, jails, as to the

9 C 41

2

5

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 \bigcirc 0 M

☼

TOP SECRET

95

form if any of consent waivers that were being provided to human subjects being tested with psychochemicals?

Dr. Gottlieb. My answer is, first, that the specific of this question of how much we knew or how much the question of consent on the part of the subjects we used are not remembered by me now.

The second comment I want to make is that the remembrance I had is that I had a general awareness that there was consent procedures, but I don't remember specifically talking about them myself or my subordinates looking into them. I just have no remembrance of going into an area now. We may have or we may not have.

I mentioned before, these were not unwitting tests. I think that is on the record as I remember them. They were all supposedly witting tests. The tests we did at these institutions were all towards the end of determining information about these materials under witting conditions.

Mr. Maxwell. Was there any attempt that you can recall made by you or your staff to determine the level of knowledge which was provided to subjects to make them "witting"?

Dr. Gottlieb. I can't remember that.

Mr. Maxwell. So in general the distinction you are drawing! between withing and unwitting has to do with a broad differentiation --

Dr. Gottlieb. And not the degree of information they were

TOPLECRET

- **O**i: ~ C. \circ D

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

given, yes.

Section States

Cir

end lyhch

Mr. Maxwell. How could you characterize the distinction in your mind between witting and unwitting testing of psychochemicals?

Dr. Gottlieb. I think I can clear that up very simply.

What I am talking about, the unwitting would be the covert

administration of the material; with no knowledge on the

subject's part.

Mr. Maxwell. This would be a good place to stop.

Mr. Lenzner. I hope that the Committee doesn't take Dr. Gottlieb in this sense or any sense — when he says he does not remember, that should not be inferred by the staff or the Committee to mean that that didn't happen. And I have some sense that you are making an assumption in your follow-up questions when he says, no, I have no recollection of that, that in fact if such a conversation or investigation took place, when indeed it may have.

Mr.Maxwell. I don't think that is a logical inference to draw.

Mr. Lenzner. Good. I am glad to hear that.

(Whereupon, at 6:20 p.m., the interview was adjourned.)

TOPSECRET