	Approved for P	2017/00/11	C06121786		
XX UNCLASSIF	LED XXX OFFICIAL	JSE ONLY	CONFIDENTIAL	SECR	ET
<b>š</b> .			DATE		
CENTRA	L INTELLIGENCE AGE	ENCY			
ROUTING		COPD	26 Octob	er 1964	
Nooring	AND CONTROL RE	CURD			
то:			A	201	
08	5I/		- 11 -		(b)(3)
ATTN:			10	0	` <b> </b> ´` ′
			-		(b)(3
BUILDING	r		ROOM NO.		(` `
TITLE			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	,				
	- 50	iontific	Paper "A C	07-	(b)(3)
	- 50	TENOTITC	raper A C	01-	
+nibuti	n Mouanda Solu	ing the	mostion of	+ha	
CLIDGUI	Ju lowarus Solv	rug tue	question of	che	
0.000000000	and of Ohmomore	heref - 133	Defense		
Uccurrei	ice of Chromosp	neric FL	ares Before	Geo-	
•			\		
magnetic	c Storms" (CZEC	HOSLOVAK	IA)		
DEMARK C					
REMARKS					
				-	
	×				
RETATN					
XXX RETAIN			ON LOAN		
	DOCUMENT(S) MUST B	E RETURNED	то	•	
DOCUMENT(S)		CONTAC	T DIVISION		(b)(3
FOR RETENTION	BY (Deadline)				
J. ADDRESSEE			Γ		(h)(3
EPON.	I	BRANCH			
CONTA	CT DIVISION				(b)(3)
BUILDING -		ROOM NO.	EXTEN	ISTON	
1717 н 9	Station	511		2401	
	Approved for R		C06131786		

-

•

1964

A CONTRIBUTION TOWARDS SOLVING THE QUIDTION OF THE OCCURRENCE OF CHRO-MOSPHERIC FLARES BEFORE GEOMAGNETIC STORMS.

by Bohumila Bednářová-Nováková

Geophysical Institute, Czecosl. Acad. Sci., Prague

## ABSTRACT

The incorectness of the hypothesis according to which flares should be the source of corpuscular solar radiation, which is responsible for the origin of geomagnetic storms, is proved on the basis of determining the number of geomagnetic storms not preceded by any flares. Using the list of guaranteed large flares from the IGY of C.S. Warwick [14] and making an analysis of the solar situations occuring simultaneously with flares but on the 'CM or in the centre of the solar disc, it was shown why some flares were followed by a geomagnetic storm while after others, on the contrary, a period of absolute geomagnetic quiet set in.

Since it was shown that geomgnetic storms are preceded by changes in the magnetic fields in the solar chromosphere and because flares. also occur during such changes, the question arises, what role do flares play here? Are they the cause of such changes or are they their product ? It is deduced, and observations confirm this, that olthough flares are produced as a sort of consequence of thunges in the photospheric fields, they can simultaneously be regarded to a certain degree as the cause of substantial changes in the chromosphere which lead to the annulling of the field at least in that component which is represented by chromospheric structure and filaments. Their relationship to geophysical effects of a solar corpuscular origin is, however, quite indirect and very limited.

The fact that after the first historically recorded observation of a flare on September 1, 1859, by Carrington and Hodson and also after the two consecutive flares on August 3 and 5, 1872, which were observed by Young , a strong geomagnetic storm always followed, led to the hy-

pothesis of a connection between flares and geomagnetic storms. This 'hypothesis was also supported by the classical observations of G.E. Hale, carried out from 1892, using the new instruments developed by him : a spectrohelicgraph and later by means of a spectrohelioscope. After the discovery of the radiotelescope and after it was found by means of it that in the period when a flare occurs on the Sun, radiation is sometimes magnified on some wave-lengths (much longer than the optical), it was hoped that this meant an indicator of an effusion of corpuscular radiation had been found, i.e. such radiation which is responsible for the origin of geomagnetic disturbances. It was only later that it was discovered that not even this fact can be used for example for prognoses etc.

From the hypothesis on the connection between flares and geomagnetic storms the opinion gradually developed that flares were the direct source of of geoactive corpuscular radiation. However, flares in themselves are not high formations [1] and than they are observed on the edge of the solar disc, they do not exhibit any marked rise of matter to any great height [2]. They are often accompanied by rising prominences which, as will be seen later, may be conceived as the consequence of changes in the local magnetic field which occur often without flares and sotimes during a flare. It is this random simultaneity of the two effects which is the cause of frequent mistakes so that there are some authors who speak of flares but obviously have in mind mising prominences. That these are two quite different effects, which probably have no direct physical inter-relationship, is borne out by the fact that disappearing filamentsrising prominences occup simultumenty xaedxaixetherx/inexxaelyxaraxef very often in absence of flares. It seems that the two phenomena are likely the consequences of one and the same cause. Sometimes they occur simultaneously and other times only one of them appears.

Flares occur elmost universally in active centres and are thus only one part of a complex of events which occur shortly after one another or at absolutely the same time. From the consequences occurring after either a shorter or a loger interval it is impossible to deduce a connection with the different effects separately. This is even more difficult with geomagnetic storms which exhibit a longer time lag after sudden changes occurring on the Sun. If, however, the mechanism of the effusion of corpuscular radiation and its propagation towards the Earth is to be found, it must first be determined whether such a phenomenon can be found among the known expressions of solar activity which, in each period and during the whole cycle of solar activity, would be reliable indicator of geomagnetic . storms. It is also necessary to give physical reasons for each connection found. One must also take into consideration an explanation of the fact that sometimes no connection was found. As is clear from the many papers already published, it was found in the Geophysical Institute of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences that of all the known expressions of solar activity studied. by optical methods the best indicators of the origin of geomagnetic storms are filaments and the fine chromospheric structure under certain circumstances. As will be seen below, in the period of greater solar activity, i.e. in the period of flare occurence, these are eruptive and disappearing filaments, denoted in the Geophysical Institute by the one term - unstable & filements - which, only if they are in a suitable posi tion, safely indicate when a geomagnetic storm with ssc will occur [3]. This is because such phenomena, as will be seen later, provide direct information on changes in the magnetic field of the Sun. And it is then only these changes which are actually the direct indicators of changes in the corona and thus also of the origin of geomagnetic storms.

Doubts as to flares being the source of corpuscular radiation were raised by D.Van Sabben [4], R.A.Watson [5] and O.M. Barsukov[6]. The sta-

tistical paper by C.S. Worwick and R.T. Hansen 7 shows the not very high xima of the Ap-indices after flares in the period of greater solar activity for flares nearer to the CM but does not give positive results for the period before the minimum. It can easily be supposed, and later this will be quite clearly proved, that the circumstance can regarded as merely a statistical phenomen, as is also clear from the table given in [8]: the more elements contained by the two series compared, the more frequently two of them are found which are either simultaneous or follow one another in a certain time interval. The effect is the same although one series is much more numerous than the other, as is the case when the number of flores greatly exceeds, particularly in periods of greater activity, the number of geomagnetic storms. It is seen from Tab. I. and even better from Fig.l that a decrease in the number of flares after the maximum (1959-60) is followed not by decrease but by an increase in the number of geomagnetic storms whether flare: of greater importance or whether afxam all, including those with the smallest importance, are used for the comparison. No connection can be observed even if one takes the number of ssc or the greatest storms which , according to accepted world opinion, should be connected with flares but which, as will be seeh later, indicate no pronouced connection.

	storn	IS.				•				<u></u>
Year	Imp.	3,3+	mber 2	01 11 1	1- 1-	 t	otal	all	ssc	torms-
1957, 2ndh	alf	25	203	••••		22	-1 5	Kp=25+		
1958		21	207			440 35 a	5189	27	17	······································
1959		24	227			<b>2</b> 51	9668	42	24	
1960		50	209	2208	910	269	3587	50	22	
		19	118	1490	541	137	2168	72	32	
1961	·····	10	38	787	294	48	1129	35	17	

Table I Comparison between number of flares and number of geomagnetic

The results in the paper by Halenka 9 , 10 also give rise to doubts as to the direct connection between chromospheric flares and geomagnetic storms,

for Release:



Fig. 1. Comparison of number of flares with number of geomagnetic storms in 1958-61; top left: flare = 2, top right: flare = 1-, bottom left: geomagnetic storm Kpmax. = 5+, dashed line - large geomagnetic storm Kpmax. = 7+, Kpmin. = 5-, bottom right: ssc.

Approved for Release: 2017/09/11 C06131786

is given in [11]. The sucher of the process paper showed [12] that when studying the flare activity of different active centres during the whole period of passage over the solar disc a geomagnetic storm occurred only after the CMP of the active centre in which flares occurred throughout the period. This means that flares outside the CM fell flat. This is in complete agreement with the recults of 0.M. Barsukoy [6].

In 1961 a paper was published by B. Bell [13] from which it is clear that the connection between flares and geomagnetic storms is doubtful if it is guaranteed , and then only in the relatively long interval of three days, only to 50%. Not even a distribution according to the magnetic types of spots, in the neighbourhood of which the flares occured, gives satisfactory results. Despite these facts flares are continually given in dD relation with geomagnetic storms. But the question of theoretical opinions, in the same way as the question of prognoses, necessitates a detailed investigation. For this reason the author decided to supplement the work of B.Bell and to find, on the contrary, how great a percentage of geomagnetic storms is preceded by flares. This kind of research is part of the work of the heliogeophysical group of the geomagnetic department of the Geophysical Institute which aims at leaving no geomagnetic storm unexplained.

For this purpose the material from 30.VI. 1957 to the end of 1962 was investigated. Only material from these years, thanks to the IGY and IGC, can be regarded as practically complete as regards flares. The work was divided into several stages.

1) The percentage of geomagnetic storms for Kpmax  $\geq 5^+$  was found which were not preceded by any flares  $\geq 2$  in intervals of 10-48 hours, 10-60 hours, 10-72 hours. These are relatively long intervals. In an earlier paper the present author found shorter intervals, valid for disappearing filaments, i.e. 28-58 hours. Since , however on account of the small number of observations from which these values were derived they must be regarded as provisional. Since an interval of three days was used in the work of B.Bell, such longer time intervals were left in the present paper as well. Indeed



Fig. 2. Percentage of geomagnetic storms Kpmax. ≥5+ from 1957 (2nd half), 1958, 1959, 1960, 1961 and 1962. Vertical abscissae denote, from left right, magnitude of percentage for flares from spaces (with respect to CM) : 0° - 10°, 0° - 20°, 0° - 30°, 0° - 45°, 0° - 60°, 0° - 90°. From top to bottom with respect to commencement of geomagnetic storm for intervals of 10-Approved for Release: 2017/09/11 C06131786 hours

5

if it is proved for such intervis, that there is no connection between flares and geomagnetic storms, this is even more likely to be for shorter intervals.

1) Altogether 248 geomagnetic storms, obtained from the graphs of Kpindices from Göttingen, were investigated in the manner indicated. As regards flares, American catalogues  $14^{\circ}$ ,  $15^{\circ}$ ,  $16^{\circ}$ ,  $17^{\circ}$  were used. Only for 1962 was the Quarterly Bulletin from Zürich 18 used. The results are plotted in Fig.1, where the magnitude of the number of percentages is denoted by the vertical abscissa successively from left to right for the individual years and for flares considered in the areas defined by the distances from the CM:  $0^{\circ}-10^{\circ}$ ,  $0^{\circ}-20^{\circ}$ ,  $0^{\circ}-30^{\circ}$ ,  $0^{\circ}-45^{\circ}$ ,  $0^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$  and  $0^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ , and from the top downwards for the different time intervals, beginning with the shortest and ending with the longest, as given above.

It is seen that the height of the percentages of geomagnetic storms not preceded by a flare is considerable in all cases. Of the large number of flares e.g. in the period of sunspot maximum, there are less geomagnetic storms without flares than in other years when there were less flares (see Tab.I and Fig.1 ). The percentages of geomagnetic storms continually decrease with a gradual increase in flares as the distance from CM grows. They also decrease gradually and disproportionately as the length of the time interval increased which is again connected with a rise in the number of flares, the more likely one is to occur in the critical interval before a geomagnetic storm. However, such an occurrence need not indicate an interdependence. It is merely a statistical matter. If this fact is taken into consideration, it must be deduced from the graph in Fig.2 that the connection between flares and geomagnetic storms can be only random. Later this this conception will be made somewhat more exact and supplemented.

2) Since it might be objected that it is actually only large geomagnetic storms which are connected with flares, it was necessary to investigate the percentage of the number of geomagnetic storms with Kpmax = 7+ and Kpmin = 5-.In this part of work the Quarterly Bulletins [18] were used in

pproved for Release: 2017/09/11 C06131786



!

Fig. 3. Percentage of large geomagnetic storms ( Kpmax.  $\stackrel{>}{=}$  7+, Kpmin.  $\geq 5-$ ) not preceded by flare at distances from 0° to 10° from CM. White strip : importance = 3 ; lightly dashed strip : = 2 ; dashed strip : = 1-. For 1959-61 white strip coincides with lightly dashed strip.



Fig.4. Percentage of geomagnetic storms with sudden commencement not preceded by flare ( imp. = 2) at distances from 0° to 10° from CM.

2017/09/11

31786

the hope that the number of flore would be as complete as possible and importances  $\stackrel{>}{=} 3$ ,  $\stackrel{>}{=} 2$  and  $\stackrel{>}{=} 1$ - occurring between 0° to 10° from the CM in intervals of -3 days to -1 day with respect to the commencement of the geomagnetic storm ( first part of Tab. II ) were considered separately. The results are plotted in Fig.2. Since there were no large geomagnetic storms in 1962, only material up to the end of 1961 was treated. It is seen that even for large geomagnetic storms the percentages of cases without preceding flares were considerable in 1958-61 not only for the largest flares but also when using flares  $\stackrel{>}{=} 2$ , when they were above 50%. Although the percentages of large storms without flares  $\stackrel{>}{=} 1$ - are zero for 1957 and 1959, in 1961 they already reach 60%. This circumstance can easily be explained on the conception of a large number of flares in the years around the maximum (see Tab. I).

3) For the investigation of geomagnetic storms in relation to flares to be complete, one must also consider sec which are likely to be connected with sudden solar phenomena on the CM, such as flares ofc. We investigated 128 ose chosen from the graphe of prof. J. Bartels. In this part of the work flares of importance =2 which occurred in the interval from  $C^{\circ}$  to  $10^{\circ}$  from the CM were used. The heights of the percentages of geomagnetic storms with sec from 1957-62 not preceded by flares are plotted in Fig. 4. Beginning with 1958 these are values above 50 %. The maximum is reached in 1961 (975). It is seen that not even with geomagnetic storms with a sudden commencement is any connection found with flares in the neighbourhood of the CM.

Table II. <u>Percentage of number of large geomagnetic storms (Kpmax = 7+, Kpmin. = 5-), not preceied by eny flare from 0° -10° from CM.</u> Number of flares befor <u>storm</u>.

Year	Number of	<u> </u>	Qut fl	ares	fro =2_	om -3	tc 1-	Írou	1 -3t	N 0-ldav	umbe:	r of	flar +2da	es	0	
	stor	n num	ber %	numb	er %	numb	er 🗯	: :=	5 <sup>-</sup> =2	=1-	·=3	≟0_ to ;	-208 	iy be ≧=3	fore-	after_ 
id llf	7	2	20	Ţ		0		; ; ;						1		
. <b>95</b> 8	9	7	73	5	, 14 , 55	2	22	9	27	91 59	2	5	30 30	+7	+22	+61
959	8	4	50	4	50	0	. C .		_ <b>11</b>	64	0	4	)9 17	+2	+10;	+20 +47
940 .	12	7	58	?	58	3	25	5	7	56	2	10	49	+3	-3	÷.7
961 - I	5	5	100	23	200	3	60	0	0	16	0	1	17	0	-1	- 1

. Sec.

---- Approved for Release: 2017/09/11 C06131786.

۶.

!

-3,-2,-1,0,+1	*2	-3 -2 -1	0 +1 +2
	30 1.1957		2014 1050
	2.7//.		30.1X.1938
	16.VII.		22.X.
	19.VII.		27.A.
	22.VII.		
	27. VII.		13 XII
	3.1/.11	J' alla little	15 X//
	6.V//.		
	9.V///.		9/1050
	29.7///.		25./
	2.1%.		
	1 P 6 6 6 7 8 9		11.11.
	13.12.		2211
	21.!X.		24.11.
	22.1%.		25.111.
	_29.1%.		9.11.
	6. <i>X1.</i>		23.11.
	_11.11.1950		
	3.///.		7.V.
	14.111.		11.V.
ļ	25.///.		24.V.
	26.17.		11.VI.
	31.V.		29.VI.
	14.'//.		11.VII.
	28.11.		15.VII.
			17.VII.
			15.VIII.
	31. 7.1.		<i>3.1X</i> .
	17.7.4.		20.IX.
	22.V///.		29.X.
	24.7.11.		27.XI.
	ا ، ، را . ت		5. <i>X</i>   .
-3 -2 -1 0 +1 +2	25.1%	2.0.0	23.XII.
	-	3-2-1 0	+1 +2

Fig.5a. Time distribution of occurrence of larger chromospheric flares ≥2 (denoted by horizontal abscissa on corresponding day), befor (from -3 to -1 day) and after sac (from 0 to +2 day), at distances from 0° to 10° from CM - in IGY and IGC - periods of greater solar activity. Data of strong storms are denoted by larger letters.

-3:2,-1 0,+1,+2	)	-3 -2 -1	0 +1 +2	
	10.1.1960			30.XI.
	13.1.			₹XII.
	30.111.			25.XII.
	2.11.			3.11.1961
	5. <i>IV</i> .			4.11.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6. <i>IV</i> .			4.//.
	Z.IV.			16.11.
	10.IV.			9.111.
	27. IV.			27.111.
	30.11.			31.111.
	30.IV.			3./V.
	8.V.			12.V.
	11.'/.			22.V.
	16. V.			13.VII.
	28.V.			17.VII.
	3.11.			20.VII.
	27.11.			26.VII.
	29.VI.			29.VIII.
	30.VI.		9	30. <i>1X</i> .
	14.VII.			30.IX.
	14.VII.			26.X.
	16.VIII.			28.X.
	19.V/i/.		1	0.1.1962
	29.1/1/.		1	9.1.
	2.1X.			4.  .
	4. b			2.11.
	4.1.X.		2	P6.11.
2	4.X.		2	0.1V.
	2.2.1.		2	25.IV.
	3		2	7. V.
4	5.21.	N	2.	4.VII.
2 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2	<u>1.XI.</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4. XII.
5 - 2 - 1 = 0 + 1 + 2	-3	3 -2 -1 ' C	) +1 +2	

Fig. 5b. Time distribution of occurrence of larger chromospheric flares  $\geq 2$  (denoted by horizontal abscissa on corresponding day), before (from -3 to-1 day) and after ssc (from 0 to +2 day), at distances from 0° to 10° from CM - periods of smaller solar activity. Data of strong storms are denoted by larger letters. .)

The second part of Tab. II compares the number of flares occurring in the interval of one to three days before the geomagnetic storm. (large storms and flares  $\ge 3$ ,  $\ge 2$  and  $\ge 1-$ ) with the number of flares not occurring until after the commencement of the storm up to the +2 day. The result is very instructive. The number of flares preceding is somewhat higher so that the difference in the two numbers gives positive numbers except for 1961. For medium and large flares it is zero in 1960. It is seen again, primarily for medium and large flares, that the value of the difference decreases with a decrease in the total number of flares. However, despite everything, there exists on the average a sort of predominance of the number of flares occurring but before compared with the number of flares occurring after the commencement of a geomagnetic storm. In order to determine this more exactly for a larger number of cases, a similar investigation was made for a set of all ssc. The results are plotted in Fig. 5 a and 5 b ( all storms with ssc, appart from one large storm on 30.III. 1960, which had gradual commencement). It is seen that here, too, flares occur both before and after the commencement of a storm. If , however, we add up all the flares we obtain a higher number before storms (73) than after storms If, in addition, we consider that (21). this graph gives a high percentage of geomagnetic storms not preceded by any flares (68%) and simultaneously also a certain percentage when flares occurred only after the commencement of the storm(7%) then it be deduced that if there exists a connection between geomagnetic storms with ssc and flares it must be gite limited and indirect.

4) What this interdependence may have , can be determined as follows. It was shown earlier that a geomagnetic storm occurred after a flare if an unstable filament or active centre with unstable filaments, surges etc., were simultaneously on the CM or even better in the centre of the solar disc [20], [21]. Since the opinion is still held that large flares are after all connected with geomagnetic storms, an investigation of the solar situations during the occurrence of large flares was made

Approved for Release: 2017/09/11 C06131786 or Release: 2017/09/11 C06131786



Fig. 6. Course of Ap-indices after large flare (imp. = 3-) during simultaneous presence of unstable filament in centre of visible solar disc (day of occurrence of flare is zero day) year 1957 (flare from corrected catalogue C.S.Warwick)

Approved for Release: 2017/09/11 C06131786 or Release: 2017/09/11 C06131786





Fig.7. Course of AP-indices after large flare (imp. ≥ 3-) during simultaneous presence of unstable filament in centre of visible solar disc ( day of flare occurrence is zero day ), 1958 (flare from ' corrected catalogue of C.S.Warwick ).



Fig. 8. Course of average AP-indices (method of superposed epochs)

after large flare in period of IGY. a) in presence of unstable filament (8 cases), b) during simultaneous and of active centre without essential changes (2 cases), c) in centre of solar disc no unstable filament or other phenomenon on day when flare occurred (10 cases), d) CMP of unstable filaments was not simultaneous with flare (23 cases).

Approved for Release: 2017/09/11 C06131786

9.

at the suggestion of C.S. Warwick, who kindly supplied the reworked catalogue of large flares from the IGY. From the list of days on which large flares certainly occurred, the following groups were chosen: 1) with simultaneous occurrence ( in the limits of one day) of filaments in the centre of the solar disc regardless of the position of the flore and its distance from the CM and also from the filamont, 2) with cimultaneous CMP of the active centry without flare activity, 3) without the presence of an unstable filament, and likewise in the absence of other phonomena, 4) tho occurrence of unstable filaments was not simultancous, the filament either preceded or followed the day when large flare occurred. The results are given in Figs 6-7 which show the course of the Ap-indices plotted for the different days characterized by the occurrence of at least one flare from 1957 (2nd half) and 1958 for group 1) , i.e. for the cases when in unstable filament was present in the centre of the color disc. Figures 8 a,b, c and d are valid successively for all above-mentioned. groups; in them are plotted the courses of the average Ap-indices calculated on the basis of the method of superposed epochs. It is seen from all the graphs 6 -8 that an unstable filament was necessary in order that a geomegnotic storm might occur; the presence of a flare was not decisive. If a filament was not present a decrease occurred in the Ap-indicos after flare. In Fig. 8 d the maxima are not pronounced and are displaced as a consequence of the uncorresponding and displaced papoigoe of unstable filements. In the case of Fig. 8 b the decrease is caused by the CLP of the active centre which does not vary very much. It is seen from all this that flares cannot be directly connected with the occurronco of gcomagnotic stories. The slight trace of connection, based on the fact that there is a larger number of flares before the commencement of a storm than after it, may be to the consequence only of an directan connection with which we shall deal in the analysis of the resulto.

## Recapitulation and enalysis of results.

It must be borne in mind that 1) a direct connection between flares and geomagnetic storms is disputable if it is considered that is obtained in on ly 50 % of the cases [13]; 2) if geomagnetic storms exist in periods when flares do not occur, a direct connection must be considered doubtful; 3) in no case did flares prove suitable as an indicator of geomagnetic storms in prognoses; 4) statistical papers dealing with the connection between flares and geomagnetic storms and giving results based on the average values of Ap-indices, exhibit low and unpronounced maxima [9],[10]. This fact in itself raises doubts as to the hypothesis on the direct connection between geomagnetic storms and flares [11]. 5) The fact that in the period before and after minimum no connection between flares and geomagnetic storms is obtained [7] is a confirmation of the incorrectness of the flare hypothedis. 6) Not the slightest connection between the importance of a flare and the occurrence of a geomagnetic storm was obtained in any convincing manner. There are many large geomagnetic storms preceded only by the weakest flare.

Apart from this there are also the results of the present paper where material from 30.VI. 1957 to the end of 1962 was used. It was found that "7 there exist high percentages of the number of geomagnetic storms before which not a single flare was observed even in relatively long intervals (up to three days before). The percentages decrease if the chosen interval is londer and more flares are taken into consideration, and increase with a decrease in the number of flares (they also depend on limitations as regards distander from CM and importance and on the period of the eleven-year cycle). It is thus clear that we have to do with only a statistical effect. The large the number of elements being compared, the more frequently it happens that both rall simultaneously into the chosen time interval and this is even more likely if a longer interval is chosen. When there is a large number of flares (see Tab. I. Fig 1 ) it is more likely that one will occur by chance in the interval of three days before a geomagnetic storm beyond the maximum than beyond or before the minimum, when the number of flares disproportionately falls, while the number of geomagnetic storms decreases much less. This fact was proved for all geomagnetic storms : from Kpmax  $\stackrel{>}{=}$  5+, and for large storms: Kpmax  $\stackrel{>}{=}$  7+ and Kpmin  $\stackrel{=}{=}$  5-, and also for all ssc. If the percentages of sudden commencements without a flare are compared with other storms the latter are somewhat lower although they are still large, 8) The apparent connection between flares and geomagnetic storms can be explained by the aims multaneous occurrence of other effects on the CM and possibly directly in the centre of the solar disc, as has been shown earlier [20], [8].

The somewhat larger number of flares occurring before geomagnetic storms compared with that following after the commencement of a storm indicates a slight connection, although this is limited compared with the high percentages of storm occurrence without.a flare.This is just what can be found from the observations: that during a flare there is sometimes a greater probability of the occurrence of a geomagnetic storm although at any other times this is not true at ell. It seems that not always but only someting mes do flares have the tendency to precede the occurrence of a geomagnetic storm. And this is just what, as a consequence of point 8), is the cause of the rise in Ap-indices after a flare. The indirect connection can be explained by the presence of other affects. These are primarily unstable filaments ; their change from filements of other types shows that not even here is there a simple connection but that a profounder significance must be ascribed to this circumstance. This means a completely new view of the re-" lations between solar and geomagnetic activity: flares occur mostly in active centres. In such places there are strong magnetic fields, which are manifest, although with smaller intensity, also in the chromosphere and in the corona. The way in thich such fields are distributed in the space above active centres is designed by the chromospheric structure and the shapes of the filements-prominences. When changes occur in the local magnetic field a change takes place in the chromospheric and coronal structures and this

Approved for Release: 2017/09/11 C06131786

can be studied with great certainty on hydrogen masses above the active centre and its neighbourhood. Under certain conditions the filaments and chromospheric structure disapear altogether. It can be deduced from this that in such cases changes occurred in the given layers which had the result that the field was " disappear " there. This apparently, primarily concerns one of its components. It can occul suddenly or gradually, temporarily or permanently. During such changes flares often, though not always, occur. Since the "disappearance" of the local magnetic field in the centre of the collar disc - under certain conditions also outside the centre but on the CH - alwayo means the advent of a geomagnetic storm [2p], [22], [23] flares are also classified among processes which occur around the same time as the commencement of the geoamgnetic storm appears on the Sun.

The question remains, what is important from the point of view of theoretical opinion as well as for practical use: are flares the cause of changes in local magnetic fields or their consequence ? This will certainly be the subject of discussion for a long time to come, until the very nature of flares is known. A flare as the cause of a disturbance of magnetic field in the chromosphere is also considered by M.A. Ellison [24]. Perhaps this question could be answered by the conception of a combination of magnetic and electric conditions in the outer solar layers.

It is also necessary to explain some facts which, if incorrectly interpreted, might lead to controversies. This is primarily the question of changes and the possible annulling of chromospheric fields. These occur  $\sim$ sometimes during a flare and at other times simply without it. Flares occur in the majority of cases above active centres with a complex structure of magnetic fields. It can be expected that a very effective interferance will be required to annul chromospheric fields which in this case have considerable intensity. And it is during  $\varepsilon$  flare (often regardless of the importance) that the disappearence of chromospheric structure and filaments can be observed in different places. On the other hand, if the fields terms are very weak such as those distributed above facular areas without sunspots a quite small change is enough to bring about such an effect. In such cases quite insignificant flares are usually present in the active region or its surfoundings or flares do not occur there at all.

It is certain, of course, that sometimes, after a certain time after a flyse, the chromospheric structure is restored to what it was befor and the filaments also appear as though they had not disappeared at all. This happens if it is a region above strong photospheric fields. As soon as after a flare in the outer layers above the active centre is renewed the normal state, i.e. the state they had before, than the hydrogen masses, partly still unionized and partly already recombined, begin to rewinds around the lines of force of the still existing magnetic field reaching here from the photosphere. Tis exould explain the coronal origin of prominences considered by K.O. Kiepenheuer [25].

Another objection to considering flares as the cause of changes in man netic fields might be the fact that the changes in local magnetic fields actually occur before the flares. But, according to our own subjective impressions obtained during observations, the changes before flares are of a different kind to those observed immediately after them. Before a flare there is an increase in intensity and extent of the field which becomes more and more complicated. Then surges and small filaments are produced. These arephenomena of short duration. It depends on the aspect from which we explain the process leading to the annulling of the field. In any case, however, we must admitthat we have here the conditions for th superposition of fields and their temporary compensation, which is manifes

differently in different places. These are changes appearing rather as isolated cases. While large and extensive changes occur only during the fifs re and after it. If an active centre is observed just after a flare, it can be said that a considerable and very striking simplification of the chromospheric structure has taken place and sometimes it completely disappears over large areas. Anyone who deals with the observation and study of fine

Approved for Release: 2017/09/11 C06131786

chromospheric structure and filaments can find this difference himself and there are certainly many who have already observed it.

However, there should be no misunderstanding. The question of whether a flare is the cause or consequence of changes in magnetic fields in the chromosphere cannot for the time being be decided even by the argum ments given here. It should also be borne in mind that, whatever the case, one cannot in any case speak of a direct and universal connection bet and ween flares and geomagnetic storms. This might be permissible perhaps only in very limited cases when annulling of the fields occurred in the active centre just in the centre of the solar disc or under certain conditions on the CM and when flares also occurred just in this centre. But even then the connection would be indirect. The actual and nearest indicators of this fact are always unstable filaments. This can be explained by means of the known relation between filaments and coronal formations extending above them. As has already been shown [3] a geomagnetic storm occurs when some coronal formation is directed toward the Earth. The densit ty of the coronal plasma, in other words the density Corpuscular streams is apparently directly related to the magnitude of the geomagnetic storm and vice versa. A filament and the chromosphere obviously contribute by their masses so that after their ionization, which occurs in certain layers of the corona - apparently layers at heights to, which the rising pro minences reach - the coronal plasma becomes denser. As was to be more tedperhaps, there definitely exists no simple relation between the size of a filament and the magnitude on a geomagnetic storm. This is natural since the main question here is to what percentage the atoms were ionized. It is not impossible, however, that the necessary parameters could be determine ned by a detailed study, e.g. by determining the amount of mages which returned to the chromospere atc.

On the basis of the above considerations and whole series of working. hypotheses and using the resulis of observations, a new method of fore -

\*Approved for Release:

casting geomagnetic activity, disturbances and quiet, was elaborated [26]. Its successful try-out in practice proves the correctness both of the method itself and of the corresponding hypotheses. In order to be able to forecast every geomagnetic storm with maximum accuracy, a correct and continuous observations of the Sun is required. Only in this way is it possible to record all'sudden and of short duration phenomena which precede the occurrence of geomagnetic storms. And only in this way will it be possible to forecast each period of absolute geomagnetic quiet which folows after the CMP of an active centre in Which the magnetic field was not annulled.

Table III. The connection between flares and some solar and geophysical

phenomena as seen from the results obtained hitherto.

a) Immediate results :

risin	g prominences	exist elso without flares	no dependent directly on importance of flare	limited indirect connection
2), SFE		exist only with flare	dependent directly on importance of flare	possible direct connection
5) Delli	nger effect	exist only with flare	dependent directly on importance of flare	possible direct connection
) <u>Later</u> ) geoma	<u>results:</u> gnetic storms	exist also without flares (high percen- tage well abo- ve 50%) not all flares are followed by geomegnetic	not directly dependent on importance of flare	limited indirect connection
		DV ØRAmeønetie		

Approved for Release: 2017/09/11 C06131786

To bum ap, it must be explained what form the connection between flares and some solar and geophysical effects, has judging by what we have had the opportunity to observe. The analysis is given in Tab.IIJ according to which the SFE and Dellinger effect begin immediately after a flare, depend on the magnitude of its importance and are thms directly related to it. Meanwhile, however, the disappearing filaments--rising prominences, despite the fact that they occur near to the flare in time - and often absolutely simultaneously [27] - are, like the effects occuring after it in a longer time interval, i.e. geomagnetic storms and polar aurorae, indirectly related to the flare. In order to supplement Tab.III the connection between flares and radio outbursts will have to be verified in a radical manner. According to the work of J. Halenka [28], one could deduce a certain dependence on the presence of a fialemnt.

## References.

[1]	J.W. Warwick: Hights of Solar Flares, Ap.J. 121, 1955, 376-384.
[2]	C.S. Warwick : Flare Hight <sup>°</sup> and Association with SID' S, Ap. J. 121, 1955,
ь л ,	385-390.
3	B. Bednářová-Nováková: Connection between Geomagnetic Storms in IGY and
	IGC and Occurence of some Kinds of Filaments, Studia geoph.et geod. 5,
	1961, 138-163.
[4]	D.Van Sabben: Solar Flare-Effects and Magnetic Storms. J.Atm. Terr. Phys.
[5]	R. A. Watson: Magnetic Activity following a Solar Flare. J.Atm. Terr.
	Phys. 11, 1957, 59-61.
[6]	0.M. Barsukov : Геомагнитая эффективность хромосфенну вспышок по
-	материадам 1957г. Bulletin of the Academy of Sci., U.S.S.R., Geophysical
5	Series, 1959, No. 11, 1690-1693.
[7]	C.S. Warwick and R.T. Hansen: Geomagnetic Activity following Large Flares.
	J.Atm. Terr. Phys. 14, 1959, 287-295.
[ຮ]	B. Bednářová-Nováková: Analysis of Solar Situations During Flares and Geomagnetic Activity Afterwards, Travaux de l'Inst. géoph. de l'Acad.
<u>ح</u> م	Tchécosl. des Sci, No.191, Geofysikální Sborník 1963, NČSAV, Praha, 399-405
[9]	J. Halenka: The connection between chromospheric Flares and Geomagnetic
~ ~	Activity in the IGY, Studia geoph. et geod. 4, 1960, 361-377.
[10]	J. Halenka : Geomagnetic Activity after Large Ghromospheric Flares, Studia geoph. et geod. 5, 1961, 237-255.
[11]	J. Halenka : On the Character of the Connection between Geomagnetic Acti- vity and Chromospheric Flares. Studia geoph. et geod. 8, 1964 (in prc3s)
[12]	B. Bednářová-Nováková: Une note sur la question de l'origine des orages géomagnétiques. Studia geoph. et geod. 4, 1960, 167-171.
[13]	B. Bell: Major Flares and Geomegnetic Activity, Smitsonian Contributions
	to Astrophysics, Vol.5, No. 7, 1961, 67-83.
[14]	C.S. Warwick: National Bureau of Standards List of IGY Flares with Nor- malized Values of Importance and Area, IGY Solar Activity Report Series.
	Number 17, May 1, 1962, High Altitude Observatory University of Colorado,
•	Boulder, Colorado.



[26] B. Bednářová-Nováková: K charakteru souvislosti mizejících filamentů s geomagnetickými bouřemi ( manuscript).

B. Bednářová-Nováková: A New Method of Forecasting Geomagnetic Activity and its Practical Aplication, Travaux de l'Inst. Géoph. de l'Acad. des Sci, No206, Beofysikální Sborník 1964, NČSAV 1965 (in presse)

28 J. Halenka: Kontrola účinnosti erupcí s hlediska geomagnetického pomocí radiových vzplanutí. Travaux de l'Inst. Géoph. de l'Acad. Tchécosl. des Sci. No 31, Geogysikální Sborník 1955, NČSAV, Praha, 1956, 239-248.